Gas-Phase Preparation of Subvalent Germanium Monoxide (GeO, X'Σ⁺) via Non-Adiabatic Reaction Dynamics in the Exit Channel
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ABSTRACT: The subvalent germanium monoxide (GeO, X'Σ⁺) molecule has been prepared via the elementary reaction of atomic germanium (Ge, 3P) and molecular oxygen (O₂, X'Σ⁻) with each reactant in its electronic ground state by means of single-collision conditions. The merging of electronic structure calculations with crossed beam experiments suggests that the formation of germanium monoxide (GeO, X'Σ⁺) commences on the singlet surface through unimolecular decomposition of a linear singlet collision complex (GeOO, H, C₃₂₀, X'Σ⁺) via intersystem crossing (ISC) yielding nearly exclusively germanium monoxide (GeO, X'Σ⁺) along with atomic oxygen in its electronic ground state [pI, O(3P)]. These results provide a sophisticated reaction mechanism of the germanium–oxygen system and demonstrate the efficient “heavy atom effect” of germanium in ISC yielding (nearly) exclusive singlet germanium monoxide and triplet atomic oxygen compared to similar systems (carbon dioxide and dinitrogen monoxide), in which non-adiabatic reaction dynamics represent only minor channels.

As early as in 1886, the chemist Clement Winkler initiated the pioneering report of the main group XIV element germanium (Ge).1,2 The preparation and properties of subvalent germanium(II) compounds along with their isovalent carbon (C) and silicon (Si) analogues have attracted the attention of the physical inorganic, synthetic, and computational chemistry communities from the perspective of chemical bonding and electronic structure theory.3–11 From carbon to germanium, the electronic structures of the dihydrides change dramatically (Scheme 1, 1–6). Whereas methylene (CH₂, X'B₁) has a triplet electronic ground state with a singlet–triplet splitting to the a1A₁ state of 35.6–37.7 kJ mol⁻¹12,13, the situation is reversed for silylene (SiH₂) and germylene (GeH₂) with X'B₁ determined as the electronic ground state and a'B₁ confirmed as the first excited electronic state. The singlet–triplet splitting rises from 79.5–87.9 kJ mol⁻¹ in silylene14–20 to 100–105 kJ mol⁻¹ in germylene (GeH₂).21 This finding has been discussed on the basis of distinct sizes of the valence orbitals of carbon versus silicon and germanium (the larger size of the valence orbitals of silicon and germanium leading to an inefficient hybridization of the s and p orbitals in silicon and germanium and hence stabilization of the singlet versus triplet state in silylene and germylene); this conclusion is also evident from the H–E–H (E = C, Si, or Ge) angles in the electronic ground states, which are reduced from 129.8° (CH₂, X'B₁) to 93.4° (SiH₂, X'A₁) and 92.3° (GeH₂, X'A₁) (Scheme 1).22 The diminished reactivity of germylene (GeH₂)22 compared to those of their methylene (CH₂)24–28 and silylene (SiH₂) analogues29–31 led to the successful synthesis and characterization of subvalent germanium(II) compounds such as the germanium dichloride adduct GeCl₂ (benzthiazole),3 the acyclic germylene diamide [(Me₃Si)₂N]₃Ge,4,5 and the germanium analogue of Arduengo’s carbene (t-BuNCHCHN-t-Bu)Ge.4,5–8

The growing interest in the (in)organic germanium(II) chemistry also re-energized extensive research of the chemical bonding of binary oxides of main group XIV elements. In carbon monoxide (CO, 7), two π bonds and one σ bond essentially form a C≡O bond.32,33 Whereas carbon monoxide is a gas at 293 K, gas-phase silicon monoxide (SiO, 8), which was first reported by Mabery,34 is inherently unstable and reacts through disproportionation to afford amorphous silicon and silicon dioxide (SiO₂) clusters.35,36 The divalent germanium monoxide (GeO, 9)37 was first discovered by Winkler.1 From carbon to silicon and germanium, the bond lengths increase from 1.128 Å to 1.512 and 1.617 Å, respectively. Germanium monoxide has emerged as a key reactive intermediate in the fabrication of integrated optics38 and silicon–germanium alloy-based microelectronic devices due to enhanced electron and hole mobilities compared to those of silicon.39,40 Germanium monoxide has been characterized spectroscopically both experimentally11–43 and theoretically44–46 by exploiting ultraviolet–visible spectroscopy in gas discharges of germanium tetrachloride (GeCl₄) vapor.
and oxygen (O$_2$).$^{47,42,48,49}$ Trickle and co-workers extended this range to the vacuum ultraviolet region in an inductively heated furnace, thus characterizing the X$^2\Sigma^+$, A$^2\Pi$, and B$^2\Sigma^+$ states.$^{50}$

However, whereas subvalent germanium monoxide has been successfully characterized spectroscopically, no route for preparing divalent germanium monoxide (GeO, 9) in a directed synthesis has been developed. At this point, we conducted the first gas-phase preparation of germanium monoxide (GeO, 9, X$^2\Sigma^+$) through the reaction of germanium atoms (Ge, $^3P$) with molecular oxygen (O$_2$, X$^\Sigma^+$) with each reactant in its electronic ground state via the technique of crossed molecular beams.$^{9,10}$ The most fundamental and microscopic level studies fused with electronic structure calculations offer an exceptional glance into the underlying reaction dynamics through which highly reactive germanium oxides like germanium oxide (GeO, X$^1\Sigma^+$) may be prepared via a bimolecular reaction between the simplest germanium-bearing species (Ge, $^3P$) and the prototype oxidant (O$_2$, X$^\Sigma^+$) under single-collision conditions involving unconventional non-adiabatic reaction dynamics in the exit channel. This system is also of fundamental interest to the reaction dynamics community as a benchmark of triatomic systems directed synthesis has been developed. At this point, we conducted the first gas-phase preparation of germanium monoxide (GeO, 9, X$^2\Sigma^+$) through the reaction of germanium atoms (Ge, $^3P$) with molecular oxygen (O$_2$, X$^\Sigma^+$) with each reactant in its electronic ground state via the technique of crossed molecular beams.$^{9,10}$

The elementary gas-phase bimolecular reaction of atomic germanium (Ge, $^3P$) with molecular oxygen (O$_2$, X$^\Sigma^+$) with each reactant in its electronic ground state was investigated under single-collision conditions utilizing a crossed molecular beam machine (Methods). The branching ratios of the natural isotope abundances of germanium $^{70}$Ge, $^{72}$Ge, $^{73}$Ge, $^{74}$Ge, and $^{76}$Ge are 20.4%, 27.3%, 7.7%, 36.7%, and 7.8%, respectively. Therefore, the reactive scattering signals were observed at m/z 86, 88, 89, 90, and 92, respectively. No adducts ($^{70}$Ge$^{32}$O$_2^+$, m/z 102; $^{72}$Ge$^{32}$O$_2^+$, m/z 104; $^{73}$Ge$^{32}$O$_2^+$, m/z 105; $^{74}$Ge$^{32}$O$_2^+$, m/z 106; $^{76}$Ge$^{32}$O$_2^+$, m/z 108) were detectable. These raw data alone demonstrate a single reaction channel via the emission of atomic oxygen (16 amu) and formation of germanium monoxide (hereafter GeO) ($^{70}$Ge$^{16}$O$, m/z$ 86; $^{72}$Ge$^{16}$O$, m/z$ 88; $^{73}$Ge$^{16}$O$, m/z$ 89; $^{74}$Ge$^{16}$O$, m/z$ 90; $^{76}$Ge$^{16}$O$, m/z$ 92) (reaction 1). The corresponding TOF spectra and the laboratory angular distribution (LAD) were collected at the best signal-to-noise ratio at m/z 90 (Figure 1). The LAD is rather broad and spans the complete range of the rotatable detector from at least 9.25° to 64.25°.

$^{74}$Ge($^3P$; 74 amu) + $^{32}$O$_2$(X$^\Sigma^+$; 32 amu) → $^{74}$Ge$^{16}$O(X$^\Sigma^+$; 90 amu) + $^{16}$O($^3P$; 16 amu) (1a)

$^{74}$Ge($^3P$; 74 amu) + $^{32}$O$_2$(X$^\Sigma^+$; 32 amu) → $^{74}$Ge$^{16}$O(X$^\Sigma^+$; 90 amu) + $^{16}$O($^1D$; 16 amu) (1b)

The aforementioned experimental results support the formation of the germanium monoxide (GeO, X$^\Sigma^+$) along with atomic oxygen under single-collision conditions. To further illuminate the underlying reaction mechanism(s) accompanied by the potential involvement of intersystem crossing (ISC), excited state surfaces, and non-adiabatic reaction dynamics, a transformation of the laboratory data from the laboratory reference frame into the center-of-mass reference frame is accomplished. Figure 2 shows the corresponding center-of-mass translational energy $P(E_T)$ and angular $T(\theta)$ flux distributions. Within the margin of error, the TOFs and LAD (Figure 1) can be duplicated well via a single-channel fit, that is, the reaction $^{74}$Ge($^3P$; 74 amu) + $^{32}$O$_2$(X$^\Sigma^+$; 32 amu) → $^{74}$Ge$^{16}$O(X$^\Sigma^+$; 90 amu) + $^{16}$O($^3P$; 16 amu) (reaction 1a) (Figure 1). In detail, for molecules born without internal excitation, the relation $E_{\text{max}} = E_C - \Delta G$ is used to describe the conservation of energy among the
and hence the existence of bound GeO$_2$ intermediate(s).

Indirect scattering dynamics via long-lived complex formation yields germanium monoxide along with an oxygen atom. A comparison of the computed reaction energies (for p$_1$, D$_G = -170 \pm 5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$; for p$_2$, D$_G = 20 \pm 5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$) with the experimentally deduced value from the crossed beam study (D$_G = -167 \pm 19$ kJ mol$^{-1}$) suggests that germanium monoxide (GeO, X$^3\Sigma^+$) along with ground state atomic oxygen \([O(^3P)]\) is formed.

The average translational energy of the products was derived to be $90 \pm 9$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, indicating a tight exit transition state (TS$_1$). The computations identify two triplet (GeOO, i$_3$; reaction 1b) and four singlet (GeOO, i$_2$; reaction 1a) GeO$_2$ intermediates, four which are in their triplet electronic ground states, along with atomic oxygen \([O(1D/3P)]\).

The center-of-mass translational energy distribution with fractions of $5\pm3\%$. In summary, the data support the dominant formation of germanium monoxide (GeO, X$^3\Sigma^+$) along with atomic oxygen \([O(^3P)]\) in its electronic ground state via single-collision conditions on the triplet surface in the gas phase with minor amounts of the reactive scattering signal perhaps originating from the singlet surface.

**Figure 1.** Laboratory angular distribution (top) and time-of-flight (TOF) spectra (bottom) recorded at m/z 90 for the reaction of a germanium ($^{74}$Ge, $^3P_0$) atom with molecular oxygen (O$_2$; X$^1\Sigma_g^+$). The experimental data are shown as black circles. The red lines depict the best fits.

The average translational energy of the products was derived to be $90 \pm 9$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, suggesting that nearly half of the total available energy (49 $\pm$ 5%) is channeled into the translational degrees of freedom of the products. The center-of-mass angular distribution $T(\theta)$ can provide additional information about the reaction dynamics (Figure 2B). First, $T(\theta)$ is forward-backward symmetric and exhibits non-zero intensity over the complete angular range from 0° to 180°; this proposes indirect scattering dynamics via long-lived complex formation and hence the existence of bound GeO$_2$ intermediate(s).

Furthermore, the distribution minimum at 90° reveals geometrical limitations and an emission of the oxygen atom nearly perpendicular to the total angular momentum vector within the rotational plane of the fragmenting complex(es). These findings are also summarized in the flux contour map, which depicts the flux intensity of the reactive scattering products as a function of the product velocity (u) and center-of-mass scattering angle ($\theta$), providing detailed information about the reactive scattering process (Figure 2C).

The underlying chemical dynamics and mechanism(s) of Ge($^3P$)–O$_2$(X$^1\Sigma_g^+$) reaction can be unlocked through the combination of the laboratory data with electronic structure calculations (Figure 3 and Table S2). The existence of two atomic oxygen loss channels (p$_1$ and p$_2$) on the triplet and singlet surface, respectively, is revealed by the electronic structure calculations. These lead to germanium monoxide (GeO, X$^3\Sigma^+$) along with ground state atomic oxygen [p$_1$, O($^3P$); D$_G$ = $-170 \pm 5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$; reaction 1a] and electronically excited singlet oxygen [p$_2$, O($^1D$); D$_G$ = $20 \pm 5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$; reaction 1b]. The computed reaction energy to form germanium monoxide (GeO, X$^3\Sigma^+$) and ground state atomic oxygen matches well with the reaction energy of $-164 \pm 10$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ derived by Doering et al. and Schneider et al. A comparison of the computed reaction energies (for p$_1$, D$_G = -170 \pm 5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$; for p$_2$, D$_G = 20 \pm 5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$) with the experimentally deduced value from the crossed beam study (D$_G = -167 \pm 19$ kJ mol$^{-1}$) suggests that germanium monoxide (GeO, X$^3\Sigma^+$) along with ground state atomic oxygen \([O(^3P)]\) is formed. It is worth noting that the reaction energies (Figure 3) are computed for germanium atoms in their $^1P_0$, $^3P_1$, and $^3P_2$ of the germanium beam was confirmed by laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) characterization. Compared with Ge($^3P_0$), Ge($^3P_1$) and Ge($^3P_2$) are 6.7 and 16.9 kJ mol$^{-1}$ higher in energy, respectively. This would change the reaction energies from $-170 \pm 5$ to $-177 \pm 5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ (j = 1) and $-187 \pm 5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ (j = 2) for p$_1$ and from $20 \pm 5$ to $13 \pm 5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ (j = 1) and $3 \pm 5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ (j = 2) for p$_2$. Therefore, within the margin of error, the thermodynamically most stable product channel (p$_1$) may be the result of the reaction of germanium in the $^1P_0$ (j = 0, 1, and 2) states. Considering the experimental and computational error limits, the channel to p$_2$ might be concealed in the low-energy part of the center-of-mass translational energy distribution with fractions of $5\pm3\%$. In summary, the data support the dominant formation of germanium monoxide (GeO, X$^3\Sigma^+$) along with atomic oxygen \([O(1D/3P)]\) in its electronic ground state via single-collision conditions on the triplet surface in the gas phase with minor amounts of the reactive scattering signal perhaps originating from the singlet surface.

Which is the dominating reaction mechanism to germanium monoxide (GeO, X$^3\Sigma^+$) and ground state atomic oxygen \([O(1D/3P)]\)? For the Ge($^3P$)–O$_2$(X$^1\Sigma_g^+$) reaction, both reactants are in their triplet electronic ground states, along with the singlet and/or triplet electronic states of the germanium monoxide (GeO, X$^3\Sigma^+$) and atomic oxygen \([O(1D/3P)]\). Therefore, the triplet and singlet GeO$_2$ surfaces have to be explored. The computations identified three singlet (i$_1$, i$_3$, and i$_5$) and two triplet (i$_2$ and i$_4$) GeO$_2$ intermediates, four transition states (TS$_1$–TS$_4$), and six singlet–triplet seams of crossings (MSX$_{1a}$, MSX$_{1b}$, and MSX$_{2}$–MSX$_{5}$). The reaction can be initiated via the addition of ground state germanium (Ge, $^3P$) to one of the oxygen atoms of molecular oxygen without any barrier leading to a linear singlet (GeO, i$_1$, C$_{overt}$ 3$\Sigma^+$) and/or, via a tiny barrier of 5 kJ mol$^{-1}$, to form a bent triplet (GeOO, i$_2$, C$_{overt}$ 3$\Sigma^+$) collision complex. On the triplet surface, the migration of the terminal oxygen atom to the germanium atom in i$_2$ yields a bent germanium dioxide (GeOO, i$_4$, C$_{overt}$ 3$\Sigma^+$), which then undergoes a barrierless, unimolecular decomposition to germanium monoxide (GeO, C$_{overt}$ X$^3\Sigma^+$) along with ground state atomic oxygen [p$_1$, O($^3P$)]. On the singlet surface, ring closure in i$_1$ (GeOO, C$_{overt}$ 3$\Sigma^+$) accompanied by a second Ge–O bond formation results in a cyclic, triangular intermediate i$_3$ (GeO$_2$, C$_{2v}$, 1$A_1$). Intermediate i$_3$ (GeO$_2$, C$_{2v}$, 1$A_1$) can also be accessed from...
the reactants in nearly zero-impact parameter collisions and isomerizes via ring opening to i5 (GeVO, DSeparated 1Σ+) via a transition state lying 91 kJ mol⁻¹ above i3. Singlet germanium dioxide (GeVO, i5, DSeparated 1Σ+) represents the global minimum of the GeO₂ potential energy surface. The product germanium monoxide (GeO, X₁Σ⁻) along with electronically excited singlet oxygen [p₂, O(1D)] can be formed via emission of a terminal atomic oxygen in i1 (GeOO, CSeparated 3Σ+) and i5 (GeVO, DSeparated 1Σ+) without an exit barrier. To determine the possibility of ISC among the triplet and singlet surfaces, investigations were expanded to identify six minima on the seams of crossings (MSX) (MSX₁a, MSX₁b, and MSX₂–MSX₅). In energetic terms, two seams of crossings, MSX₁a and MSX₁b, are located nearby intermediate i₂ (GeOO, CSeparated 3Σ+) and in the vicinity of the isomerization path of i1 (GeOO, CSeparated 3Σ+) to i3 (GeVO, CSeparated 1Σ⁺). Here, judging from the Ge−O−O angle, MSX₁a is placed prior to passing transition state TS₁, so that after the crossing, the system proceeds to i₁. Alternatively, MSX₁b is located after TS₁, on the path toward i₃. MSX₂ resides in the vicinity of the isomerization pathway of i₃ (GeVO, CSeparated 1Σ⁺) to i5 (GeVO, DSeparated 1Σ⁺) and acts like a transition state connecting i₃ to i₄ (GeVO, CSeparated 1Σ⁺). MSX₃, which is 27 kJ mol⁻¹ in energy below the separated reactants, links intermediate i₁ (GeOO, CSeparated 3Σ+) to the products germanium monoxide (GeO, X₁Σ⁻) plus ground state atomic oxygen [p₁, O(3P)]. MSX₄ lies on the dissociation pathway from i₃ to p₁ and is located 232 kJ mol⁻¹ lower in energy compared with the initial reactants or 62 kJ mol⁻¹ below product p₁. Similarly, MSX₅ is positioned on the decomposition pathway of i5 to p₁ and lies 174 and 4 kJ mol⁻¹ lower in energy compared with the reactants and product, respectively. It means that the spin-forbidden dissociation of singlet intermediates i₃ and i₅ to the triplet product p₁ occurs via MSX₄ and MSX₅ without exit barriers. Interestingly, no direct oxygen atom abstraction pathway that connects the reactants to any products could be found on the triplet surface. A transition state search for this pathway was unsuccessful, and the potential energy scan for the germanium atom approach toward molecular oxygen showed a monotonic energy decrease with the system descending to the i₂ complex on the triplet PES after a small entrance barrier at TS₄ is overcome.

The aforementioned pathways are filtered further based upon the experimental results for P(Eₚ) and T(θ). First, the peak of P(Eₚ) at 88 ± 9 kJ mol⁻¹ reveals a tight exit transition state leading to germanium monoxide (GeO, X₁Σ⁻) along with atomic oxygen in its electronic ground state [p₁, O(3P)]. Considering the possible pathways, the unimolecular decomposition of i₁ through MSX₃, which resides 143 kJ mol⁻¹ above the separated products, can account for the experimentally predicted tight exit transition state. The i₄ → p₁ pathway can be likely excluded because the decomposition of i₄ involves a loose exit transition state, a feature that is not corroborated by our experiments. The same is true for the spin-forbidden i₃ → p₁ and i₅ → p₁ pathways. Second, T(θ) features a minimum at 90°, this finding reveals a dominating decomposition of the GeO₂ intermediate in which the oxygen atom is ejected nearly within the rotational plane of the fragmenting complex(es). Although our laboratory data cannot provide the rotational energy distribution of GeO, the experimentally derived P(Eₚ) and T(θ) provide strong evidence of the involvement of the i₁ → MSX₃ → p₁ pathway in this system.
We derived the energy-dependent rate constants for the two competing processes, $i_1 \rightarrow TS_1 \rightarrow i_3$ and $i_1 \rightarrow MSX_3 \rightarrow p_1$, using RRKM theory and assuming that the intersystem crossing is efficient, i.e., treating MSX as a reaction transition state. The results indicate that at an experimental collision energy of $17.5 \pm 0.5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, if the system is to behave statistically, the ratio of the reaction fluxes from $i_1$ to $i_3$ and to $p_1$ through these two pathways should be $\sim 98/2$; this value is actually an underestimate considering that the intersystem crossing is required on the path to $p_1$. In view of the experimental observations, this indicates that the reaction dynamics is not only non-adiabatic but also nonstatistical, with the energy likely to be rapidly channeled from the reaction coordinate into the O–O stretching mode. More detailed RRKM calculations would require numerous singlet–triplet crossings and barrierless exit channels to be taken into account where transition states need to be located variationally, but such calculations are still expected to be insufficient for the appropriate description of this reaction because of the apparent nonstatistical behavior. The only theoretical approach that could likely provide an adequate description of this reaction is ab initio molecular dynamics simulation using either pseudoclassical trajectories with surface hopping or wave packet propagation including multiple PESs possibly involved, such as triplet and singlet surfaces correlating to the ground and excited electronic state products GeO + O($^3P$) and GeO + O($^1D$). This represents a challenge for a future comprehensive theoretical study.

In conclusion, a merging of the electronic structure calculations and crossed beam experiments propose non-adiabatic reaction dynamics in the germanium ($Ge, ^3P$)–molecular oxygen ($O_2, X^3Σ_g^−$) system yields germanium monoxide ($GeO, X^1Σ^+$) along with atomic oxygen ($p_1, O(^3P)$) in its electronic ground state. The reaction is initiated on the singlet surface via barrierless addition of germanium to the oxygen atom leading to a linear singlet (GeOO, $i_1, C∞v, 1Σ^+$) collision complex with ISC from the singlet to the triplet manifold in the exit channel through MSX terminating the reaction to form germanium monoxide ($GeO, X^1Σ^+$) along with atomic oxygen ($p_1, O(^3P)$) in its electronic ground state. The facile intersystem crossing is likely supported through the "heavy atom effect" of germanium. Due to the heavy atom effect of germanium, intersystem crossing is revealed to be the dominant, if not exclusive, channel for the unimolecular dissociation of $i_3$ (OGeO, $C_{3v}, 1A_1$) to germanium monoxide ($GeO, X^1Σ^+$) and ground state atomic oxygen ($p_1, O(^3P)$) because the inclusion of heavy atoms in the molecular structure enhances the spin–orbit coupling between singlet and triplet states,83–86 thus shedding light on the fundamental reaction pathways of the unimolecular decomposition of main group XIV oxides and the inherent formation of subvalent germanium(II) compounds such as germanium monoxide ($GeO, X^1Σ^+$).
Experimental Section. The gas-phase reaction of a germanium (Ge, $^3P_0$) atom and oxygen (O$_2$, $^3Σ_g^-$) with each reactant in its electronic ground state was conducted via single-collision conditions utilizing a homemade universal crossed molecular beam setup. The reactant atomic germanium ($^3P_0$) was generated in the primary source chamber. In brief, the 266 nm laser operated at 30 Hz (Nd:YAG laser, 3 ± 1 mJ per pulse) was used to process in situ ablation of germanium atoms from a rotating germanium rod (Alfa Aesar). The neon gas (Ne, 99.9999%; Specialty Gases of America) was regulated at a backing pressure of 4 atm to dilute the ablated germanium atoms. No higher-molecular weight germanium-bearing species were observed under the current experimental conditions. The gas mixture was first skimmed and then velocity-selected by a four-slot chopper wheel. A peak velocity ($v_p$) and speed ratio ($S$) of the neon-seeded germanium beam were determined to be $982 ± 8$ m s$^{-1}$ and $5.9 ± 0.2$ (Table S1), respectively. Laser-induced fluorescence interrogation of a neon-seeded germanium beam indicates that all germanium atoms are in their electronic ground state ($^3P_0$). The relative abundance values for ground states $^3P_0$, $^3P_1$, and $^3P_2$ are derived as 56%, 36%, and 8%, respectively. In the secondary source chamber, pure oxygen gas (O$_2$, 99.998%; Matheson) was used as precursor to produce the supersonic oxygen beam characterized with a $v_p$ of $778 ± 20$ m s$^{-1}$ and an $S$ of 15.6 ± 1.0 (Table S1). In the main chamber, the supersonic oxygen beam interacted with the primary beam germanium atoms at 90°. The resulting collision energy ($E_C$) is $17.5 ± 0.5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, while a center of mass angle ($θ_{CM}$) is determined to be $18.9° ± 0.6°$. The detector, which is a triply differentially pumped and rotatable chamber that can realize the collection of angularly resolved time-of-flight (TOF) spectra in the plane defined by both reactant beams, is located inside of the crossed molecular beam machine. Once entering the detector, the neutral reaction products flew through the electron impact ionizer (80 eV, 2.0 mA), and the resulting ions were then selected via a quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS, Extrel, QC 150) on the basis of the mass-to-charge ratio. The filtered ions were ultimately collected by a Daly type ion counter. To determine the essence of the reaction dynamics, a forward-conversion method was used to transform the laboratory TOF spectra into the center of mass frame (CM) and the CM translational energy ($P(E_T)$) and angular $T(θ)$ distributions can be obtained. The error ranges of the $P(E_T)$ and $T(θ)$ functions are determined within the 1σ limits of the corresponding laboratory angular distribution and beam parameters (beam spreads and beam velocities) while maintaining a good fit of the laboratory TOF spectra.

Computational Studies. Geometries of the intermediates and transition states on the GeO$_2$ PES were initially optimized using the hybrid oB97XD density functional with Dunning’s augmented correlation-consistent aug-cc-pVTZ basis set, and vibrational frequencies were computed at the same oB97XD/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. Then, the structures were reoptimized utilizing the multireference second-order perturbation theory CASPT2 method with the augmented quadruple-ζ aug-cc-pVQZ basis set and with full valence active space containing 16 electrons distributed on 12 orbitals. In cases in which a transition state does not exist at the oB97XD/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory and could be found only using the CASPT2 method (e.g., for TS4), vibrational frequencies were computed numerically at the CASPT2(16,12)/aug-cc-pVQZ level of theory. The minimal energy structures on the seams of crossing (MSX) between singlet and triplet states were located using the multireference complete active space SCF (CASSCF) method also with the full valence (16,12) active space and with the x2c-SVP-all-2c basis set, and their single-point energies were then improved utilizing CASPT2(16,12)/aug-cc-pVQZ. Additionally, the energies of the reactants and products were computed at the coupled clusters CCSD(T)/CBS//oB97XD/aug-cc-pVTZ level with the complete basis set (CBS) extrapolation from the values assessed with the aug-cc-pVQZ and aug-cc-pVTZ basis sets. Potential energy scans carried out to explore the direct O abstraction channel on the triplet PES and to verify barrierless connections between the reactants/products and GeO$_2$ intermediates were executed at the CASPT2(16,12)/aug-cc-pVQZ level of theory. The electronic structure calculations were performed utilizing Gaussian 09 and MOLPRO (CASSCF, CASPT2, and the MSX search) software packages.
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