Directed gas-phase preparation of the elusive phosphinosilylidyne (SiPH₂, \(X^2\Delta''\)) and \(cis/trans\) phosphinidenesilyl (HSiPH; \(X^2\Delta'\)) radicals under single-collision conditions†
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The reaction of the D1-silylidyne radical (SiD; \(X^3\Pi\)) with phosphine (PH₃; \(X^1\Delta\)) was conducted in a crossed molecular beams machine under single collision conditions. Merging of the experimental results with \(ab\) \(initio\) electronic structure and statistical Rice–Ramsperger–Kassel–Marcus (RRKM) calculations indicates that the reaction is initiated by the barrierless formation of a van der Waals complex (i0) as well as intermediate (i1) formed via the barrierless addition of the SiD radical with its silicon atom to the non-bonding electron pair of phosphorus of the phosphine. Hydrogen shifts from the phosphorous atom to the adjacent silicon atom yield intermediates \(i2a, i2b, i3\); unimolecular decomposition of these intermediates leads eventually to the formation of \(trans/cis\)-phosphinidenesilyl (HSiPH, \(p2/p4\)) and phosphinosilylidyne (SiPH₂, \(p3\)) via hydrogen deuteride (HD) loss (experiment: \(80 \pm 11\%\), RRKM: \(68.7\%\)) and \(\alpha\)-trans/cis-phosphinidenesilyl (DSiPH, \(p2/p4\)) plus molecular hydrogen (H₂) (experiment: \(20 \pm 7\%\), RRKM: \(31.3\%\)) through indirect scattering dynamics via tight exit transition states. Overall, the study reveals branching ratios of \(p2/p4\) to \(p3\) of close to \(4:1\). The present study sheds light on the complex reaction dynamics of the silicon and phosphorous systems involving multiple atomic hydrogen migrations and tight exit transition states, thus opening up a versatile path to access the previously elusive phosphinidenesilyl and phosphinosilylidyne doublet radicals, which represent potential targets of future astronomical searches toward cold molecular clouds (TMC-1), star forming regions (Sgr(B2)), and circumstellar envelopes of carbon rich stars (IRC + 10216).

1. Introduction

Since the pioneering isolation of the methyleneamidogen radical (H₂CN, \(B_2\), 1) in solid argon in 1962 by Cochran et al., 1 the structural isomers \(trans\)-iminomethyl (trans-HCNH, \(2\Delta, 2\)), \(cis\)-iminomethyl (cis-HCNH, \(2\Delta, 3\)), and aminomethylidyne (CNH₂, \(2\Delta\), \(4\)) along with the isovalent homologues silylene phosphino (H₂SiP, \(2\Delta\), \(5\)), \(trans\)-phosphinidenesilyl (trans-HSiPH, \(2\Delta, 6\)), phosphinosilylidyne (SiPH₂, \(2\Delta, 7\)), and \(cis\)-phosphinidenesilyl (cis-HSiPH, \(2\Delta, 8\)) have been of considerable interest to the physical (organic), material, astrochemistry, and theoretical chemistry communities from the fundamental points of views of electronic structure and chemical bonding (Scheme 1). 2–28 The methyleneamidogen radical (H₂CN, \(B_2\), 1) was detected via the \(1_{01}–0_{00}\) transition in the Taurus Molecular Cloud (TMC-1) and through the \(2_{02}–1_{01}\) transition in Sagittarius B2 (Sgr B2). 11 This radical was predicted to exist in the circumstellar envelope of the carbon-rich star IRC + 10216; a possible formation pathway could be the hitherto unstudied reaction of \(N^2(S) + CH_3 \rightarrow H_2CN + H\). 12,13 The methyleneamidogen radical (H₂CN, \(B_2\), 1) has been also identified as a reactive intermediate in the combustion of hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine ([CH₂N(NO₂)]₃, RDX) and octahydro-1,3,5,7-tetranitro-1,3,5,7-tetrazine ([CH₂N(NO₂)]₄, HMX). 5–8 To elucidate the molecular and electronic structure, multiple spectroscopic experiments were designed. 14–18 As early as 1968, Ogilvie and coworker detected the electronic absorption spectra of H₂CN (1) at 281–285 nm in the gas phase with radicals prepared by flash photolysis of (CH₃)₃. 14 Jacox reported the ultraviolet (UV) and infrared (IR) spectra of H₂CN (1) along with a vibrational assignment of the structured \(2\Delta_2–2\Delta_2\) band. 15 The microwave spectrum of methyleneamidogen radical (H₂CN, 1) in the \(2\Delta_2\) ground electronic state was also collected. 16 Multiple calculations revealed that the \(C_{2v}\) symmetric radical methyleneamidogen radical (H₂CN, \(B_2\), 1) represents the most stable of the four isomers (1, 2, 3, 4). 2,4,9,19–21 The results reveal
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that the trans-HCNH (2), cis-HCNH (3), and CNH$_2$ (4) isomers are less stable than planar H$_2$CN (1) by 10, 29, and 98 kJ mol$^{-1}$, respectively.$^{24-27}$ Rakshit et al. reported the first observation of HCNH (2, 3) in the lab by neutralized ion beam spectroscopy.\textsuperscript{3} The aminomethylidyne (CNH$_2$, 4) radical, which is the simplest iminium species, was identified via the thermal decomposition of hydrogen cyanide (HCN), azomethane (CH$_3$N$_2$CH$_3$), and methylamine (CH$_3$NH$_2$).\textsuperscript{22–25}

Compared to the H$_2$CN system (1, 2, 3, and 4), gas phase studies on the isovalent H$_2$SiP system [H$_2$SiP (5), trans-HSiPH (6), SiPH$_2$ (7), and cis-HSiPH (8)], in which the main group IV and V elements carbon and nitrogen are replaced by the isovalent silicon and phosphorus counterparts, are remarkably lacking.$^{26–28}$ Iwata and coworkers computed the H$_2$SiP potential energy surface (PES) using the G2 approach as well as a hybrid DFT (B3LYP/6-311G**$^\dagger$) method.\textsuperscript{28} The $C_{2v}$ symmetric H$_2$SiP (5) represents the global minimum; this structure is best described as a molecule holding a Si–P double bond along with a lone-pair as well as a radical center located on the phosphorus atom. The second low-lying isomer trans-HSiPH (6) and its conformer cis-HSiPH (8) are 23 and 40 kJ mol$^{-1}$ higher than H$_2$SiP (5), respectively. The conformers trans-HSiPH (6) and cis-HSiPH (8) are connected via a low barrier of 49 kJ mol$^{-1}$ relative to trans-HSiPH (6). Therefore, the facile interconversion of trans-HSiPH (6) and cis-HSiPH (8) isomers suggests the difficulty to distinguish them experimentally. The SiPH$_2$ radical (7) is predicted to be less stable than H$_2$SiP (5) by 28–41 kJ mol$^{-1}$.$^5$ Baboul et al.$^{27}$ optimized the geometries of 5, 6, 7, and 8 at the MP2/6-31G(d) level of theory and the relative energies were calculated by the G2 method. The structures of H$_2$SiP (5), trans-HSiPH (6), and cis-HSiPH (8) are planar along with a Si–P double bond. The trans-HSiPH (6), SiPH$_2$ (7), cis-HSiPH (8) molecules are less stable than H$_2$SiP (5) by 16, 38, 21 kJ mol$^{-1}$, respectively.$^{26,27}$

The differences of molecular structures and chemical bonding of the H$_2$CN system (1, 2, 3, 4) and the isovalent H$_2$SiP species (5, 6, 7, 8) are reflected in the bond lengths and angles. The Si–P bond lengths of from 2.051 to 2.139 Å in H$_2$SiP isomers (5, 6, 7, 8) are longer than the C–N bond length of from 1.222 to 1.289 Å in the isovalent H$_2$CN radicals (1, 2, 3, 4) (Scheme 1). Further, the H$_2$SiP molecules (5, 6, 7, 8) hold Si–H bond lengths of 1.475 to 1.491 Å compared to the shorter C–H bond lengths of 1.082 to 1.083 Å in the H$_2$CN analogs (1, 2, 3, 4); also, the P–H bond lengths of 1.404 to 1.423 Å in H$_2$SiP isomers (5, 6, 7, 8) are longer than the N–H bond length of 1.000 to 1.009 Å in the H$_2$CN species (1, 2, 3, 4). The H–Si–P angle (124.3°) in H$_2$SiP (5) agrees well with the corresponding H–C–N angle (120.6°) in H$_2$CN (1), whereas the Si–P–H angle (116.9°) in SiPH$_2$ (7) is smaller than the C–N–H angle (122.2°) in CNH$_2$ (4). For the conformer pairs trans/cis-HSiPH (6, 8) and trans/cis-HCNH (2, 3), the Si–P–H angles in trans/cis-HSiPH (6, 8) of 84.9° to 92.9° are smaller than the corresponding C–N–H angles in trans/cis-HCNH (2, 3) of 116.0° and 115.4°, respectively; this suggests an sp$^2$-hybridization of the nitrogen atom and hence a N–H bond with an sp$^2$ orbital at the nitrogen atom, but an H–P bond involving a non-hybridized p orbital at the phosphorus atom, which would give an ideal H–P–Si bond angle of 90°. However, as of now, none of these H$_2$SiP molecules (5, 6, 7, 8) has been identified experimentally.

The aforementioned compilation reveals that the formation mechanisms of the H$_2$SiP isomers (5, 6, 7, 8) are far from being resolved. Herein, we access the SiPDH$_3$ PES via the bimolecular reaction of the D$_1$-silylidyne radical (SiD; X$^1$Si), with phosphine (PH$_3$; X$^1$A$_3$). By merging the crossed molecular beam data with electronic structure calculations, we demonstrate that at least trans-phosphinidenesilyl (HSiPH), cis-trans-phosphinidenesilyl (DSiPH), phosphinosilylidyne (SiPH$_3$), cis-phosphinidenesilyl (HSiPH), and cis-trans-phosphinidenesilyl (DSiPH) can be formed under single collision conditions. Phosphine (PH$_3$; X$^1$A$_3$) has been identified in circumstellar envelopes of IRC + 10216 and toward star-forming regions like SgrB2,\textsuperscript{29} the silylidyne radical
(SiH; X^2T1) may be inferred to exist in star forming regions such as Orion Kleinmann-Low nebula;\textsuperscript{30} therefore, a synthesis of trans/cis phosphinidenesilyl [trans/cis-HSiPH\textsubscript{2}, 6/8] and phosphinosilyldyne (SiPH\textsubscript{2}, 7) in these extraterrestrial environments is predicted.

2. Methods

2.1. Experimental

The gas-phase reaction of the D1-silylidyne radical (SiD; X^2T1) with phosphine (PH\textsubscript{3}; X^1A1) was conducted under single-collision conditions using a universal crossed molecular beams machine at the University of Hawaii.\textsuperscript{31–36} In the primary source chamber, a pulsed supersonic D1-silylidyne radicals was produced \textit{in situ} by laser ablation of a rotating silicon rod (Si; 99.999%; Goodfellow Cambridge Limited) at 266 nm, 6 ± 1 mJ pulses (Spectra-Physics Quanta-Ray Pro 270 Nd:YAG laser; 30 Hz) and seeding the ablated species in a gas mixture of deuterium gas (D\textsubscript{2}; 99.999% purity; Linde) and neon (Ne; 99.999%; Airgas) with a ratio of 1 : 1 and a total pressure of 4 atm. According to the isotopic abundances of [92.23% 28Si; 4.67% 29Si; 3.1% 30Si] and that a fraction of the D1-silylidyne radical to atomic silicon in the selected part of the beam, a D1-silylidyne to atomic silicon ratio of 0.12 ± 0.02 : 1 was determined, \textit{i.e.} a fraction of about 10%. In the secondary source chamber, the pulsed supersonic D1-silylidyne radicals was ionized by an electron impact ionizer (80 eV, 2 mA),\textsuperscript{38} then 36

Table 1  Peak velocities (v\textsubscript{p}) and speed ratios (S) of the D1-silylidyne (SiD), and phosphine (PH\textsubscript{3}) beams along with the corresponding collision energy (E\textsubscript{C}) and center-of-mass angle (\Theta\textsubscript{CM})

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beam</th>
<th>v\textsubscript{p} (m s\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>E\textsubscript{C} (kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>\Theta\textsubscript{CM} (degree)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiD (X^2T1)</td>
<td>1253 ± 30</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>36.1 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH\textsubscript{3} (X^1A1)</td>
<td>805 ± 9</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>17.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>36.1 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further single point energy calculations at the explicitly correlated CCSD(T)-F12/cc-pVQZ-F12\textsuperscript{54,55} level were performed over the geometries optimized at the M06-2X/cc-pV(T+d)\textsubscript{Z} level and both non-deuterated and singly-deuterated cases were taken into account. The isotopic substitution considered one deuterium at each possible position for every structure obtained in this work from the SiD (X^2T1) plus PH\textsubscript{3} (X^1A1) reaction. Structures that corresponded to energy minima were confirmed by presenting only real vibrational frequencies, while transition states (TSs) were confirmed by the presence of a single imaginary frequency. Intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations starting from each TS found were performed to ensure the correct connection paths. Further single point energy calculations at the explicitly correlated CCSD(T)-F12/cc-pVQZ-F12\textsuperscript{54,55} level were performed over the geometries optimized at the M06-2X/cc-pV(T+d)\textsubscript{Z} level in order to provide higher accuracy energy values. This methodology is referred here as CCSD(T)-F12/cc-pVQZ-F12/M06-2X/cc-pV(T+d)\textsubscript{Z} + ZPE[M06-2X/cc-pV(T+d)\textsubscript{Z}], and generally shows an accuracy better than 10 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1}. For discussion purposes, we report the calculation results with this error margin. All structures and parameters are reported in Table S6 (ESI\textsuperscript{+}).

3. Results

3.1. Laboratory frame

For the reactive scattering experiments of the D1-silylidyne radical (SiD; X^2T1) with phosphine (PH\textsubscript{3}; X^1A1), it is important filtered according to the mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) utilizing a quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS, Exqel, QC 150) coupled with a 2.1 MHz oscillator, and eventually recorded by a Daly-type ion counter.\textsuperscript{39} The detector is housed within a triply differentially pumped and rotatable chamber that allows the collection of angularly-resolved time-of-flight (TOF) spectra in the plane defined by both reactant beams. To obtain the information on the reaction dynamics, a forward-convolution method was used to transform the laboratory frame (LAB) data into the center of mass frame (CM)\textsuperscript{40,41} which represents an iterative method whereby user-defined CM translational energy (P\textsubscript{E}) and angular (T\textsubscript{θ}) flux distributions are varied iteratively until a best fit of the laboratory-frame TOF spectra and angular distributions are achieved.\textsuperscript{42,43} These functions comprise the reactive differential cross-section (I(θ,Ω)), which is taken to be separable into its CM scattering angle θ and CM velocity u components, I(θ,Ω) ∝ P(u) × T(θ).\textsuperscript{44–46} The error ranges of the P(E\textsubscript{c}) and T(θ) functions are determined within the 1σ limits of the corresponding laboratory angular distribution and beam parameters (beam spreads, beam velocities) while maintaining a good fit of the laboratory TOF spectra.
to consider the natural isotope abundances of silicon [^{28}\text{Si} (92.2\%), ^{29}\text{Si} (4.7\%), ^{30}\text{Si} (3.1\%)]. This might complicate the interpretation of the data of the atomic (H, D) and/or molecular hydrogen loss (H\textsubscript{2}, HD). Second, besides the D1-silylidyne radical, the primary beam also contains ground state atomic silicon Si(^{3}\text{P})\textsubscript{j}, which reacts with phosphine to form HPSi isomers plus molecular hydrogen (reaction (1)); note that the endoergic atomic hydrogen loss channel to any H\textsubscript{2}PSi isomer was closed in the Si–PH\textsubscript{3} system.\textsuperscript{56} Recall that in the Si–PH\textsubscript{3} system, reactive scattering signal was collected for from m/z = 60 to 65 (Table S1, ESI\textsuperscript{†}) to probe the atomic hydrogen loss (^{30}\text{SiPDH}^{+}, m/z = 65; ^{29}\text{SiPDH}^{+}, m/z = 64; ^{28}\text{SiPDH}^{+}, m/z = 63) (reaction (2)), the atomic deuterium loss (^{30}\text{SiPH}^{+}, m/z = 64; ^{29}\text{SiPH}^{+}, m/z = 63; ^{28}\text{SiPH}^{+}, m/z = 62) (reaction (3)), the molecular hydrogen loss (^{30}\text{SiPDH}^{+}, m/z = 64; ^{29}\text{SiPDH}^{+}, m/z = 63; ^{28}\text{SiPDH}^{+}, m/z = 62) (reaction (4)), and hydrogen deuteride loss (^{30}\text{SiPDH}^{+}, m/z = 63; ^{29}\text{SiPDH}^{+}, m/z = 62; ^{28}\text{SiPDH}^{+}, m/z = 61) (reaction (5)). The following conclusions can be drawn from the laboratory data alone.

\begin{align*}
\text{Si} + \text{PH}_3 & \rightarrow \text{SiPH} + \text{H}_2 \quad (1) \\
\text{SiD} + \text{PH}_3 & \rightarrow \text{SiPDH}^+ + \text{H} \quad (2) \\
\text{SiD} + \text{PH}_3 & \rightarrow \text{SiPH}_3 + \text{D} \quad (3) \\
\text{SiD} + \text{PH}_3 & \rightarrow \text{SiPDH} + \text{H}_2 \quad (4) \\
\text{SiD} + \text{PH}_3 & \rightarrow \text{SiPDH}^+ + \text{HD} \quad (5)
\end{align*}

**Fig. 1** Laboratory angular distribution (left) and time-of-flight (TOF) spectra (right) recorded at m/z = 61 for the reaction of the D1-silylidyne radical (SiD; X\textsuperscript{3}P) with phosphine (PH\textsubscript{3}; X\textsuperscript{1}A\textsubscript{1}). The data were fit with a single channel (top), with two channels (middle), and with three channels (bottom): (i) \textsuperscript{28}\text{SiD} (30 amu) + PH\textsubscript{3} (34 amu) \rightarrow \textsuperscript{28}\text{SiPH}_2 (61 amu) + HD (3 amu) (green), (ii) \textsuperscript{29}\text{Si} (29 amu) + PH\textsubscript{3} (34 amu) \rightarrow \textsuperscript{29}\text{SiPH}_3 (61 amu) + H\textsubscript{2} (2 amu) (blue), and (iii) dissociative electron impact ionization of the m/z = 62 (^{28}\text{SiPDH}^+) formed in the reaction \textsuperscript{28}\text{SiD} (30 amu) + PH\textsubscript{3} (34 amu) \rightarrow \textsuperscript{28}\text{SiPDH} (62 amu) + H\textsubscript{2} (2 amu) (light blue). CM represents the center-of-mass angle, and 0° and 90° define the directions of the D1-silylidyne and phosphine beams, respectively. The black circles depict the experimental data, colored lines the fits (red corresponding to the total fit), and error bars the 1σ standard deviation.
First, no signal was observable at $m/z = 65$ and $m/z = 64$ (Table S1, ESI†) revealing that the absence of any adducts ($^{28}$SiPDH⁺, $m/z = 65$; $^{29}$SiPDH⁺, $m/z = 64$). Likewise, potential contributions of the atomic hydrogen/deuterium loss and molecular hydrogen elimination pathway – if present in the SiD/PH₃ system – are below the detection limits; therefore, at least for these channels, $^{28}$SiD and $^{30}$SiD do not contribute to any reactive scattering signal. Second, signal was observed from $m/z = 63$ to 60 (Table S1, ESI†). Signal at $m/z = 63$ is unique to the SiD–PH₃ system as no signal at $m/z = 63$ was observed in the Si–PH₃ system; this signal could originate from four sources: (i) molecular hydrogen loss channel ($^{29}$SiPDH⁺, $m/z = 63$; reaction (4)), (ii) hydrogen deuteride loss channel ($^{29}$SiPDH⁺, $m/z = 63$; reaction (5)), (iii) atomic deuterium loss ($^{29}$SiPDH⁺, $m/z = 63$; reaction (3)), and (iv) atomic hydrogen loss ($^{28}$SiPDH⁺, $m/z = 63$; reaction (2)). Ion counts at $m/z = 63$ and 62 were accumulated at fraction of 4 ± 1% and 9 ± 1% compared to $m/z = 61$ (Fig. S1 and Table S1, ESI†). Recall that in the Si–PH₃ system, ion counts at $m/z = 62$ and 61 were collected at levels of 3 ± 3% and 7 ± 3%, respectively, compared to $m/z = 60$ (Table S1, ESI†). The comparison of both data sets indicates that $m/z = 61$ represents the main reactive scattering signal in SiD–PH₃ system. This can be accounted for through the reaction of the D₁-silylidyne radical (SiD· X'T₁) with phosphine (PH₃) forming SiPH₂ isomer(s) predominantly via the reaction of $^{28}$SiD along with the emission of hydrogen deuteride (HD; 3 amu) leading to signal at $m/z = 61$ (SiPH₂); molecular hydrogen loss (H₂; 2 amu) yielding signal at $m/z = 62$ (SiPDH) likely accounts for a minor fraction of the ion counts in SiD–PH₃ reaction. Since signal of $m/z = 63$ and $m/z = 62$ are significantly weaker compared with that of $m/z = 61$ and $m/z = 60$ and these time-of-flight (TOF) spectra are identical after scaling (Fig. S1, ESI†), TOF spectra and the full laboratory angular distributions were extracted at $m/z = 61$ and $m/z = 60$ (Fig. 1 and 2), respectively. Both laboratory angular distributions are rather broad, almost forward–backward symmetric with regard to the center-of-mass (CM) angle of 36°, and are spread over scattering angles from at least 10.8° to 65.8°. These results indicate that the reaction proceeds via indirect scattering dynamics involving the existence of SiPDH₃ intermediate(s). Additional information can be extracted by examining the Newton diagrams for the hydrogen deuteride loss channel for the SiD–PH₃ system as well as for the molecular hydrogen loss pathway of the Si–PH₃ reaction (Fig. S3, ESI†). The radii of the recoil circles represent the maximum CM velocity of the reactively scattered heavy products; each circle spans an angular range in which the corresponding product is expected to be observed by the detector. The laboratory angular distribution at $m/z = 60$ has a similar shape as $m/z = 61$ (Fig. S2, ESI†), but shows a noticeable broadening at higher angles; this pattern is expected for the HD loss channels in the SiD–PH₃ system.

Fig. 2. Laboratory angular distribution (left) and time-of-flight spectra (right) recorded at $m/z = 60$ for the reaction of the D₁-silylidyne radical (SiD· X’T₁) with phosphine (PH₃; X’A₂) exploiting a two-channel fit (top) and a three-channel fit (bottom): (i) $^{28}$Si (28 amu) + PH₃ (34 amu) → $^{28}$SiPH (60 amu) + H₂ (2 amu) (blue), (ii) dissociative electron impact ionization of the neutral products at $m/z = 61$ formed via $^{28}$SiD (30 amu) + PH₃ (34 amu) → $^{28}$SiPH (60 amu) + HD (3 amu) (green) and (iii) dissociative electron impact ionization of the $m/z = 62$ ($^{28}$SiPDH⁺) formed in the reaction $^{28}$SiD (30 amu) + PH₃ (34 amu) → $^{28}$SiPDH (62 amu) + H₂ (2 amu) (light blue). CM represents the center-of-mass angle, and 0° and 90° define the directions of the D₁-silylidyne and phosphine beams, respectively. The black circles depict the experimental data, colored lines the fits (red corresponding to the total fit), and error bars the 1σ standard deviation.
3.2. Center-of-mass frame

To elucidate the chemical dynamics of the bimolecular reactions of the SiD–PH$_3$ system, the experimental data were transformed from the laboratory into the CM reference frame to obtain the CM translational energy $P(E_T)$ and angular $T(\theta)$ flux distributions (Fig. 3 and 4).$^{42}$

3.2.1. $m/z = 61$. The TOFs and laboratory angular distribution at $m/z = 61$ (Fig. 1) could be replicated through a single channel fit corresponding to the reaction $^{28}\text{SiD} (30 \text{ amu}) + \text{PH}_3 (34 \text{ amu}) \rightarrow ^{28}\text{SiPH}_2 (61 \text{ amu}) + \text{HD} (3 \text{ amu})$ (Fig. 1 (top)). The $P(E_T)$ (Fig. 3) shows a maximum translational energy of $E_{\text{max}} = 90 \pm 19 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$; for those molecules born without internal excitation, the maximum energy release represents the sum of the reaction energy plus the collision energy thus revealing a reaction energy of $-72 \pm 19 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. The distribution further reveals a distribution maximum of 55 kJ mol$^{-1}$ suggesting a tight exit barrier from the decomposing complex to form the SiPH$_2$ plus HD products with a repulsive energy release and significant ‘reorganization’ of the electron density from the decomposing complex to the final products. Further, the average translational energy of the products was derived to be $56 \pm 12 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ indicating that $62 \pm 14\%$ of the available energy is transformed into the translational degrees of freedom of the products. Finally, the $T(\theta)$ (Fig. 3) depicts non-zero intensity over the complete scattering range from $0^\circ$ to $180^\circ$; this finding is indicative of indirect scattering dynamics via the formation of SiPH$_2$ complex(es); the forward-backward symmetry of $T(\theta)$ implies that the lifetime of the decomposing SiPDH$_3$ complex is longer than the rotational period(s).$^{61}$

However, $m/z = 61$ could also be a contributor from the Si–PH$_3$ reaction, i.e. the formation of $^{29}\text{SiPH} (61 \text{ amu})$ plus molecular hydrogen (2 amu). To objectively account for this possibility, we also attempted to fit the laboratory angular distribution at $m/z = 61$ with two channels (Fig. 1 (middle)) with the CM functions of the molecular hydrogen loss channel for the Si–PH$_3$ system extracted from He $et$ $al.$ $^{56}$ Here, we could add a contribution from the Si–PH$_3$ reaction of up to $33\%$. This fraction agrees well with a predicted fraction of $39\%$ considering a D1-silylidene to atomic silicon ratio of $0.12 \pm 0.02 : 1$ and the silicon isotopes contributing to $m/z = 61 (^{28}\text{Si} (92.2\%), ^{29}\text{Si} (4.7\%))$ (Tables S1–S3, ESI$^\dagger$).

Finally, $m/z = 61$ could also be a contributor from dissociative electron impact ionization of the $m/z = 62 (^{28}\text{SiPDH}^+) \text{ formed via}$ the $^{28}\text{SiD} (30 \text{ amu}) + \text{PH}_3 (34 \text{ amu}) \rightarrow ^{28}\text{SiPDH} (62 \text{ amu}) + \text{H}_2 (2 \text{ amu})$ reaction. Therefore, a third fit (Fig. 1, bottom) was attempted utilizing three channels: (i) $^{28}\text{SiD} (30 \text{ amu}) + \text{PH}_3 (34 \text{ amu}) \rightarrow ^{28}\text{SiPH}_2 (61 \text{ amu}) + \text{HD} (3 \text{ amu}),$ (ii) $^{29}\text{Si} (29 \text{ amu}) + \text{PH}_3 (34 \text{ amu}) \rightarrow ^{29}\text{SiPH} (61 \text{ amu}) + \text{H}_2 (2 \text{ amu}),$ and (iii) dissociative
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**Fig. 3** CM translational energy flux distribution (A), CM angular flux distribution (B), and the top view of the flux contour map (C) leading to the formation of trans-phosphinidensilyl (trans-HSiPH), phosphinosilylidyne (SiPH$_2$), and cis-phosphinidensilyl (cis-HSiPH). Shaded areas indicate the acceptable upper and lower error limits, while the red solid lines define the best fits. The flux contour map represents the flux intensity of the reactively scattered heavy products as a function of the CM scattering angle ($\theta$) and product velocity ($u$). The color bar manifests the flux gradient from high (H) intensity to low (L) intensity. Colors of the atoms: silicon, purple; phosphorus, pink; hydrogen, gray; and deuterium, blue.
electron impact ionization of the $m/z = 62$ ($^{28}$SiPD$^+\text{H}$) formed in the reaction $^{28}$SiD (30 amu) + PH$_3$ (34 amu) → $^{28}$SiPDH (62 amu) + H$_2$ (2 amu). A three-channel fit could reproduce the laboratory data with branching ratios of 57 ± 8%, 29 ± 6%, and 14 ± 5%, respectively (Fig. 1 (bottom)) suggesting a minor contribution of the third channel – if any. The corresponding center-of-mass functions of this channel are displayed in Fig. 4. For the $^{28}$SiD (30 amu) + PH$_3$ (34 amu) → $^{28}$SiPDH (62 amu) + H$_2$ (2 amu) reaction, a maximum translational energy ($E_{\text{max}}$) of 94 ± 19 kJ mol$^{-1}$ was derived from the $P(E_T)$. Considering $E_{\text{max}} = E_C - \Delta G$, a reaction energy was computed to be −76 ± 19 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for products born without internal excitation. The $P(E_T)$ distribution reveals a most probable $E_T$ located at 58 kJ mol$^{-1}$ suggesting a tight exit barrier from the decomposing SiPDH$_3$ intermediate(s) to form the final products SiPDH plus H$_2$. Further, the average translational energy of the products was deduced to be 58 ± 12 kJ mol$^{-1}$ indicating that 62 ± 13% of the available energy is disposed into the translational degrees of freedom of the products. Finally, the forward–backward symmetry of $T(\theta)$ (Fig. 4) along with non-zero intensity over the complete scattering range from 0° to 180° once again suggests the indirect scattering dynamics via the formation of SiPDH complex(es) and the reaction proceeds through a long-lived SiPDH$_3$ complex.$^{61}$

In summary, the data of the SiD–PH$_3$ system provided evidence on the existence of at least the SiPDH$_2$ (61 amu) plus HD (3 amu) and possibly the SiPDH (62 amu) plus H$_2$ (2 amu) channel with a maximum fraction of the latter of 20 ± 7%. It should be noted that atomic hydrogen or deuterium loss channels could not fit the laboratory data. Considering the computed potential energy surface (Fig. 4), these pathways are endoergic by 10–12 ± 10 kJ mol$^{-1}$ and hence likely do not compete with the exoergic molecular HD/H$_2$ channels. An in-depth discussion is provided below.

### 3.2.2. $m/z = 60$

Signal at $m/z = 60$ could originated from the reaction $^{28}$Si (28 amu) + PH$_3$ (34 amu) → $^{28}$SiPH (60 amu) + H$_2$ (2 amu) [channel i]. However, $m/z = 60$ could also be a contributor from dissociative electron impact ionization of the neutral products at $m/z = 61$ formed via $^{28}$SiD (30 amu) + PH$_3$ (34 amu) → $^{28}$SiPH$_2$ (61 amu) + HD (3 amu) [channel ii]. This two-channel fit utilizing both contributions could reproduce the experimental data with branching ratios of 91 ± 5% and 9 ± 8%, for channel i and ii, respectively (Fig. 2, top). Finally, we also explored if a third channel - dissociative electron impact ionization of the neutral products at $m/z = 62$ ($^{28}$SiPD$^+\text{H}$) formed in the reaction $^{28}$SiD (30 amu) + PH$_3$ (34 amu) → $^{28}$SiPDH (62 amu) + H$_2$ (2 amu) – could be implemented. The fits suggest only minor contributions – if at all (Fig. 2, bottom).
4. Discussion

In case of polyatomic reactions involving third row atoms, it is always beneficial to combine the experimental results with electronic structure calculations to provide further information on the underlying reaction mechanism(s) in the SiD–PH$_3$ system (Fig. 5). Overall, four intermediates and multiple isomerization and exit transition states via hydrogen deuteride emission (SiPH$_3$; p1, p2, p3, p4), molecular hydrogen loss (SiPDH; p1', p2', p4'), atomic deuterium emission (H$_2$SiPH; p5), and atomic hydrogen loss (HDSiPH; p5') were identified. The reaction products for the H$_2$/HD channels were revealed to be exoergic between $-89 \pm 10$ to $53 \pm 10$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, whereas the H/D loss channels were endoergic between $10 \pm 10$ to $70 \pm 10$ kJ mol$^{-1}$. In detail, the reaction between the D$_1$-silylidyne radical (SiD) and phosphine (PH$_3$) is initiated by the initial hydrogen deuteride loss leading to product p3 (phosphinosilylidene, SiPH$_2$, $^2A'$) in an overall exoergic reaction ($\Delta G = -55 \pm 10$ kJ mol$^{-1}$). This intermediate can also isomerize through a hydrogen migration from the phosphorus to the silicon atom forming intermediate i3. This process is linked to a barrier of 98 kJ mol$^{-1}$. At a collision energy of 17.7 kJ mol$^{-1}$, intermediate i3 is expected to decompose predominantly via hydrogen deuteride loss to form cis-phosphinidenesilyl (cis-HSiPH, p4, $^2A'$, $\Delta G = -55 \pm 10$ kJ mol$^{-1}$) or through molecular hydrogen loss leading to v-cis-phosphinidenesilyl (cis-DSiPH, p4', $^2A'$, $\Delta G = -53 \pm 10$ kJ mol$^{-1}$); the atomic deuterium/hydrogen loss channels to silylenephosphine (H$_2$SiPH, p5, $^1A'$, $\Delta G = 12 \pm 10$ kJ mol$^{-1}$) and v-silylenephosphine (HDSiPH, p5', $^1A'$, $\Delta G = 10 \pm 10$ kJ mol$^{-1}$), respectively, are less competitive. Intermediate i2a (HDSiPH$_3$) on the other hand can undergo hydrogen deuteride and also molecular hydrogen loss to trans-phosphinidenesilyl (trans-HSiPH, p2, $^2A'$, $\Delta G = -67 \pm 10$ kJ mol$^{-1}$) and v-trans-phosphinidenesilyl (trans-DSiPH, p2', $^2A'$, $\Delta G = -69 \pm 10$ kJ mol$^{-1}$), respectively. The atomic hydrogen loss to
their formation would involve the decomposition of intermediate \( i_3 \), which has to pass a transition state located 85/88 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) above the energy of the separated reactants; this energy is well above the collision energy of 17.7 kJ mol\(^{-1}\). Overall, the molecular hydrogen and hydrogen deuteride loss pathways can be compiled via Fig. 6. Therefore, we can conclude that at least trans-phosphinidenesilyl (\( p_2 \)), \( \nu \)-trans-phosphinidenesilyl (\( p_2' \)), phosphinosilyldiyne (\( p_3 \)), \( cis \)-phosphinidenesilyl (\( p_4 \)), and \( \nu \)-\( cis \)-phosphinidenesilyl (\( p_4' \)) can be formed under our experimental conditions. These pathways involve all tight exit transition states ranging between 50 and 73 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) above the energies of the separated products; recall that tight exit transition state(s) were predicted based on the derived center-of-mass translational energy distribution.

Finally, we explored the branching ratios of the individual products \( p_1 \text{-} p_4 \) utilizing statistical Rice–Ramsperger–Kassel–Marcus (RRKM) theory (Tables S4 and S5, ES\( \text{I}^1 \)) under the assumption of a complete energy randomization.\(^{62,63} \) Two sets of calculations were conducted starting with each a 100% population of \( i_0 \) and \( i_1 \). Since \( i_0 \) and \( i_1 \) isomerize to \( i_2a \) and \( i_2b \), respectively, and the low lying barrier of isomerization between both latter intermediates provide an equal population, the results of both sets of RRKM calculations are identical. Overall, the study predicts \( \nu \)-trans-phosphinidenesilyl (\( p_2 \)), \( \nu \)-trans-phosphinidenesilyl (\( p_2' \)), phosphinosilyldiyne (\( p_3 \)), \( cis \)-phosphinidenesilyl (\( p_4 \)), and \( \nu \)-\( cis \)-phosphinidenesilyl (\( p_4' \)) contribute 15.8%, 15.8%, 21.7%, 31.2%, and 15.6% at \( E_c = 17.7 \) kJ mol\(^{-1}\), respectively. Hence, for the SiD + PH\(_3\) system, the HD loss channels (\( p_2 \), \( p_3 \), and \( p_4 \)) supplies 68.7%, whereas the H\(_2\) emission products (\( p_2' \), \( p_4' \)) yield lower fractions of 31.3%.

Recall that, the results section reveals the existence of a dominant SiPH\(_2\) (61 amu) + HD (3 amu) loss channel and a minor SiPDH (62 amu) + H\(_2\) (2 amu) pathway with overall branching ratios of 80 ± 11%, and 20 ± 7%. Therefore, the RRKM results match our experimental findings very well. Although the contribution of the lower energy isomers cannot be quantified experimentally, the branching ratios of these isomers were predicted by statistical RRKM calculation.

5. Conclusion

Our crossed molecular beams experiment of the D1-silyldyne radical (SiD; \( X^2 \Pi \)) with phosphine (PH\(_3\); \( X^1 \Pi \)) merged with electronic structure and statistical calculations provided persuasive evidence on the dominating hydrogen deuteride channel (experiment: 80 ± 11%, RRKM: 68.7%) along with molecular hydrogen emission pathways (experiment: 20 ± 7%, RRKM: 31.3%) leading to \( trans/cis \)-phosphinidenesilyl (\( p_2/p_1 \)), \( \nu \)-\( trans/cis \)-phosphinidenesilyl (\( p_2'/p_1' \)), and phosphinosilyldiyne (\( p_3 \)) via indirect scattering dynamics through long-lived SiPDH\(_3\) complexes. The reaction is initiated via two barrierless entrance channel involving the formation of a van der Waals complex \( i_0 \) and an addition intermediate (DSiPH\(_3\), \( i_1 \)), which eventually isomerize to \( i_2a \) and \( i_2b \), respectively; both latter intermediates isomerize rapidly through rotation around the silicon–phosphorus single bond. These intermediates undergo molecular hydrogen/hydrogen deuteride loss through tight exit transition states or isomerize via hydrogen shift to intermediate \( i_3 \) (DH\(_2\)SiPH) prior to unimolecular decomposition via molecular hydrogen/hydrogen deuteride loss in overall exoergic reactions (–89 to −53 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)). Finally, the first preparation and detection of (partially deuterated) previously elusive phosphinidenesilyl (HSiPH\(_2\), \( X^1 \Pi \)) and phosphinosilyldiyne (SiPH\(_2\), \( X^2 \Pi \)) doublet radicals opens up their astronomical detection toward interstellar and/or circumstellar environments, where silyldyne and phosphate reactants are abundant such as around the circumstellar envelope of IRC + 10216 and toward star-forming regions like SgrB2.
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