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**ABSTRACT**

The silyl cyanide (SiH3CN) molecule – the simplest representative of a fully saturated silacyanide – was prepared in the gas-phase under single collision conditions via a radical substitution mechanism. The chemical dynamics were direct and revealed a pronounced *backward-scattering* as the consequence of a transition state with a penta coordinated silicon atom and almost colinear geometry of the attacking cyano radical and leaving hydrogen. Compared to the isovalent cyano (CN) – methane (CH4) system, the CN-SiH4 system dramatically reduces the energy of the transition state to silyl cyanide by nearly 100 kJmol-1, which reveals a profound effect on the chemical bonding and reaction mechanism. In extreme high temperature environments including circumstellar envelopes of IRC +10216, this versatile radical substitution mechanism may synthesize organosilicon molecules via reactions of silane with doublet radicals. Overall, this study provides rare insights into the exotic reaction mechanisms of main group XIV elements in extreme environments and affords deeper insights of fundamental molecular mass growth processes involving silicon in our universe.

**1. INTRODUCTION**

     Since the discovery of the electrophilic substitution in 1877 by Friedel and Crafts,[1](#_ENREF_1) in which an electrophile (E+) displaces a functional group in an organic compound, substi­tution reaction mechanisms such as nucleophilic (SN), electrophilic (SE), and radical (SR) substitutions[2](#_ENREF_2) play a fundamental role in preparative organic chemistry and in chemical synthesis on the industrial scale.[3-9](#_ENREF_3) From the mechanistical viewpoint, the mole­cu­larity of the reaction in the rate-determining step classifies these mechanisms as *two-step unimolecular* (SN1, SE1, SR1) or *one-step bimolecular* reactions (SN2, SE2, SR2). These pathways involve reaction complexes containing sp3 hybridized, tetra-coordinated carbon atoms or transition states with (distorted) penta-coordinated, trigonal bipyramidal geo­me­tries, respectively, as prototype mechanisms effectively enlarging the molecular complexity of aromatic and aliphatic organic molecules.[5](#_ENREF_5),[6](#_ENREF_6),[10](#_ENREF_10),[11](#_ENREF_11)

     In detail, the nucleophile in SN reaction attacks the carbo cation (SN1) or the carbon atom which is partially positive (SN2) emitting a negatively charged leaving group;[6](#_ENREF_6),[11](#_ENREF_11) bond-making and bond-breaking occurs in two-step (SN1), where the heterolytic R-X bond dissociation determines the rate constant, or via a one-step process (SN2) representing one of the most important type of ion-molecule reactions such as in the chloride (Cl-) – methyl iodide (CH3I) system.[11](#_ENREF_11) A wealth of micro-mechanisms of aliphatic nucleophilic substitution pathways such as rebound, stripping, and roundabout channels[12](#_ENREF_12) involving, e.g., Walden inversions at the carbon atom and unexpected spinning of the entire R-X molecule prior to substitution has been studied theoretically[13](#_ENREF_13) and experimentally in the gas phase.[14](#_ENREF_14) In electrophilic substitution reactions involving, e.g., aliphatic reactants (SE), the electrophile eventually replaces a functional group. The reactant either hetero­lytically dissociates into a positively charged group and a carbanion prior to a rapid recombination of the carbanion with the electrophile (SE1; two-step) or reacts through a rate-determining, single transition state with the electrophile, together with the simultaneous old bond rupture and the new bond formation (SE2; one-step).[15](#_ENREF_15)Whereas both the nucleophilic (SN) and electrophilic (SE) substitution mechanisms have aided in unraveling the physical organic mecha­nistical framework driving molecular mass growth processes through carbon-carbon bond coupling, the unraveling of dynamics of radical (SR) substitution reac­tions in gas phase– also referred to as homolytic radical substitution reactions – of reactants carry­ing tetra-coordinated carbon or isovalent silicon atoms have been sparse predominantly due to the experimental difficulties in preparing the radical reactants in sufficient concentrations to allow the detection of the nascent reaction products.[16](#_ENREF_16)

     In pioneer­ing stu­dies, detailed reactive collision mechanisms were revealed through the simplest hydrogen-atom exchange reaction of atomic hydrogen (H) with molecular hydrogen (H2);[17](#_ENREF_17),[18](#_ENREF_18) Dixon et al.[19](#_ENREF_19) along with Zare et al.,[20](#_ENREF_20)Truhlar et al.,[21](#_ENREF_21) Zhao et al.[13](#_ENREF_13) unraveled the underlying mechanisms of the reaction of atomic hydrogen (H) with methane (CH4) or D4-methane (CD4). A di­rect hydrogen abstraction pathway forming molecular hydrogen along with methyl radical (CH3) was exposed with a barrier of 63 kJ mol-1, which was energetically preferred than the radical sub­sti­tution channel leading to methane (CH4) and H with a 159 kJ mol-1 barrier.[19](#_ENREF_19) Recently, Rosso et al. explored the gas-phase reaction of germyl (GeH3)[22](#_ENREF_22) and alkyl radicals[23](#_ENREF_23) with nitrogen­tri­fluoride (NF3) at pressures from 525 to 700 Torr demonstrating that the radical substitution mechanism to difluoroaminogermane (GeH3NF2) plus atomic fluorine (F) is competitive with the classical abstraction pathway forming fluorogermane (GeH3F) and the nitrogendi­fluo­ride (NF2) radical.

In main group 14 from carbon (C) to lead (Pb), the valence *n*s and *n*p orbitals size increases with some irregularities from d-block contractions (Ge) or relativistic effects (Pb).[24](#_ENREF_24) These orbitals are of central importance in chemical bonding especially in the formation of multiple bonds.[25](#_ENREF_25),[26](#_ENREF_26) For example, heavier elements like silicon show reduced overlap of the valence orbitals and the orthogonality of p orbitals for the increased size of the valence orbitals.[27](#_ENREF_27) As important intermediates in synthetic chemistry, numerous pentacoordinate silicon compounds including pentacoordinate cyanosiliconates,[28](#_ENREF_28) silicon hydride,[29](#_ENREF_29),[30](#_ENREF_30) and silicon halides[31](#_ENREF_31) have been studied. Pentacoordinate silicon structures can be formed via addition of an anion, substitution of a trifunctional organosilane, or through a neutral donor inter- or intramolecular coordination.[32](#_ENREF_32) Among these, compounds with fluorine ligands are relatively stable and SiF5- is considered as a geometrically, electronically, and thermodynamically stable species.[33](#_ENREF_33),[34](#_ENREF_34) Besides, pentacoordinated heavy main group 14 elements including Ge, Sn, Pb were also investigated.[35-39](#_ENREF_35) However, despite these intriguing discoveries, the fundamental understanding of the reaction dynamics of radical substitution reactions involving reactants carry­ing tetra-coordinated carbon or isovalent silicon atoms and through a pentacoordinated, trigonal bipyramidal transition state under single collision conditions in the gas phase are still in their infancy. Our crossed molecular beam experiment provides a unique glimpse of the reaction dynamics through the determination of the nascent product translational energy and angular distribution information without wall effects.

Here, exploiting a combined computational and crossed molecular beam investigation, we report the gas-phase formation of silyl cyanide (SiH3CN) plus atomic hydrogen through a radical substitution pathway (SR) with a tetracoordinated silicon involving CN● - SiH4 bimolecular collisions. This elementary reaction forms silyl cyanide (SiH3CN) through a pentacoordinated silicon atom along with a trigonal bipyramidal transition state containing a simultaneous silicon-hydrogen bond rupture and silicon-carbon bond formation. Thus, the cyano – silane system is classified as a prototype reaction with an unusual reactivity of isovalent silicon versus carbon.

CN● + SiH4  SiH3CN+ H● (1)

**2. RESULTS**

**2.1 LABORATORY FRAME**

       Single collision signal of the cyano radical (CN, X2Σ+) - silane (SiH4, X1A1) reaction was monitored from *m/z* 60 (30SiH4CN) to 56 (28SiH2CN, 29SiHCN, 30SiCN, 28SiH13CN, 28SiHC15N) [28Si (92.2%), 29Si (4.7%), 30Si (3.1), 12C (98.9%), 13C (1.1%), 14N (99.6%), 15N (0.4%)]. No signal from *m/z* 60 to 58; the time-of-flight (TOF) spectra collected at 57 (28SiH3CN, 29SiH2CN, 30SiHCN, 28SiH213CN, 28SiH2C15N) and 56 depict identical patterns after scaling. These findings suggest that no adducts could be detected in the CN–SiH4 system; further, the cyano versus hydrogen atom exchange pathway forming SiH3CN (57 amu) along with atomic hydrogen (1 amu) is open under our experimental conditions. Signal observed at *m/z* = 56 is associated with dissociative ionization of the parent product SiH3CN (57 amu). Note that carbon atoms as well as dicarbon and tricarbon molecules are present in the primary beam and could in principle act as reactants, too (5. Experimental and Computational). However, the reaction products of atomic carbon and dicarbon molecules with silane will lead to the products, which are lower in mass than those formed in the CN−SiH4 reaction, and hence do not interfere.[40](#_ENREF_40) Tricarbon molecules were found to be unreactive with silane considering a 21.2 kJ mol-1 collision energy. Therefore, the existence of C, C2, and C3 in the primary beam will not interfere with the signal detected at 57, and only products formed in the CN−SiH4 reaction contribute to the scattering signal collected. The scattering signal and TOFs were accumulated at *m/z* = 57 in 2.5° intervals; the ion counts ratio of *m/z* = 57 versus *m/z* = 56 was 1.31±0.17 : 1. TOFs are then normalized to the TOF collected at the CM angle of 37.0±0.5° yielding the laboratory angular distribution (LAD) as shown in Figure 1, which is spread over 40° within the scattering plane and depict a higher intensity in the backward hemisphere (“backward scattering”). Overall, the laboratory data alone reveal an unusual radical substitution pathway (reaction (1)) leading to SiH3CN isomer(s). Recall that the QMS operates in the TOF mode; this means that the detection system effectively monitors the product flight time with a well-defined *m/z* ratio from the collision center to the electron impact ionizer.

**2.2 CENTER-OF-MASS FRAME**

Our laboratory data prove that SiH3CN is prepared, now it is our goal to expose the corresponding dynamics together with the nature of the formed SiH3CN isomer(s). To accomplish these objectives, the scattering data were transformed into the CM reference frame (5. Experimental and Computational) providing eventually the CM translational energy *P(E*T*)* and angular *T(θ)* flux distributions as depicted in Figure 2; the corresponding best fits of the laboratory data are shown in Figure 1, which are derived utilizing a single reaction channel leading to heavy products of 57 amu (SiH3CN) and the light products of 1 amu (H); a reaction threshold of 12 ± 5 kJ mol-1 was incorporated into the fitting routine. This transformation provided key information on the reaction mechanism. First, the *P(E*T*)* holds a maximum translational energy release *E*max of 150 ± 16 kJ mol-1. For those products born without internal excitation, *E*max denotes the sum of the collision energy plus the reaction exoergicity. This results in a reaction exoergicity of -132 ± 16 kJ mol-1. Second, the *P(E*T*)* peaks at 27 ± 3 kJ mol-1, which is away from zero translational energy, suggesting a tight transition state resulting in the product isomer(s). Third, the average translational energy was revealed to be 46 ± 5 kJ mol−1 indicating a release of only 31 ± 6 % of the maximum energy into the product translational degrees of freedom. Further, the *T(θ)* flux
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**Figure 1**. Laboratory angular distribution (a) and time-of-flight spectra (b) (data collected at m/z = 57 (28SiH3CN+)) in the reaction of cyano radical with silane. The circles represent the experimental results, and the red lines depict the best fits.

distribution monotonically increases with scattering angle from 0o to 180o with a pro­nounced intensity maximum at 180o. This finding indicates “backward scattering” dyna­mics and rebound dynamics involving a direct reaction through a single transition state con­necting the reactants to the products. These findings are also visualized in the flux contour map (Figure 2c), which depicts the reactive scattering products flux intensity as a function of the CM scattering angle and product velocity; this distribution can be seen as an image of the reaction and contains all information on the scattering process.[41](#_ENREF_41)
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**Figure 2**. *P(E*T*)* (a), *T(θ)* (b) flux distributions along with the corresponding flux contour map (c) for the cyano – silane reaction. The red lines represent the best-fit; shaded areas depictthe error limits.

**3. DISCUSSION**

   The formation of SiH3CN isomer(s) via direct reaction dynamics (backward scattering) has been identified, we are now incorporating the results above with electronic structure calculations which can help reveal the nature of the formed isomer(s) and the corresponding formation mechanisms in the CN–SiH4 system. The computations were carried out with relative energies of the transition states, and local minima calculated with explicitly correlated calculations that usually provide accuracies within 8 kJ mol−1 (Figure 3a).[42](#_ENREF_42),[43](#_ENREF_43) Relative energies (reactants, intermediates, transition states and products) are shown in Figure 3a. The calculations identified four reaction channels. These include two classical abstraction channels leading to hydrogen cyanide (**p1**, HCN) and hydrogen isocyanide (**p4**, HNC) plus the silyl radical (SiH3●); the difference in the reaction energies of 61 kJ mol-1 agrees well with the differences in enthalpies of formation of hydrogen cyanide versus hydrogen isocyanide of 62 kJ mol-1.[44](#_ENREF_44) In addition, two radical substitution (SR) channels forming silyl cyanide (**p2**, SiH3CN) and silyl isocyanide (**p3**, SiH3NC) plus atomic hydrogen (H●) were located.

**Table 1**. Comparison of geometric parameters of **TS1** and **TS7** with the reactants (X = C, Si). A pentacoordinated silicon atom holding a trigonal bipyramidal geometry is revealed in **TS1**.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Species | C-N (pm) | C-X (pm) | X-H (pm) | H-X-C (degree) |
| CN | 117.3 | - | - | - |
| SiH4  CH4  **TS1**  **TS7** | -  -  117.1  116.3 | -  -  258.9  182.4 | 147.6  108.6  149.0 (axial)  134.3 (axial) | -  -  180.0  180.0 |

Recall that a rection energy of -132 ± 16 kJ mol-1 has been experimentally determined; here, the calculation results indicate that the formation of the thermodynamically most stable silyl cyanide (**p2**, SiH3CN) isomer is preferred in the cyano radical and silane reaction. How is this molecule formed? The computations reveal a one-step radical substitution (SR) pathway, in which a single transition state **TS1** connects the cyano radical and silane reactants with the silyl cyanide (**p2**, SiH3CN) and atomic hydrogen (H) products. This transition state barrier is much lower than the collision energy (7 kJmol-1 versus 18.2 ± 0.5 kJ mol-1) and can be overcome easily in the experiment. The computed transition state structure reveals a pentacoordinated silicon atom holding a trigonal bipyramidal geometry, where the cyano radical is set at one of the apices. This results in a nearly collinear (180o) geometry of the axial Si-H bond with the cyano radical and a simultaneous bond forming – bond breaking process (Table 1). The nearly collinear geometry of the transition state supports our experimental findings of a “backward scat­tering” (Figures 1 and 2) through direct scattering dynamics in which the cyano radicals forms a carbon-silicon bond with the silicon atom at low (nearly zero) impact parameters resulting in a back-scattered heavy silyl cyanide (**p2**, SiH3CN) reaction product. Consi­dering the low barrier of only 7 kJmol-1 for **TS1**, at elevated temperatures, this radical substitution path­way can compete with the classical abstraction channel passing a reaction barrier of only 1 kJmol-1 to form hydrogen cyanide (**p1**, HCN) plus the silyl radical.[45](#_ENREF_45) Rate constant calculations computed using TST (transition state theory) within RRHO (rigid rotor - harmonic oscillator) approximation with Eckart's tunneling correction reveal rapid reaction rates for the bimolecular radical substitution pathway of, e.g., 1.6×10-11 , 5.4×10-11, and 1.2×10-10 cm3s-1 at 1,000, 1,500, and 2,000 K, respectively, compared to typical rates
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**Figure 3**. Potential energy surface (PES) for the reactions of cyano radical with silane (3a) and methane (3b). Bond angles and bond lengths are given in degrees and picometers, respectively. **TS4** is calculated by Burke et al. (see Ref. 45). Colors of the atoms: carbon (gray), silicon (purple), nitrogen (blue), and hydrogen (white).

in the order of 9.2×10-13 cm3s-1 for the classical abstraction pathway to hydrogen cyanide (**p1**, HCN) at 1000 K.[45](#_ENREF_45) The Skodje-Truhlar[46](#_ENREF_46" \o "Skodje, 1981 #983) tunneling corrections were also computed, with both results agreeing within 1% (Figure 4).
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**Figure 4.** Temperature dependence of rate constants of CN + SiH4 → SiH3CN + H (calculated in this work) and CN + SiH4 → HCN + SiH3 (extracted from Burke et al.) reactions. The labels “Eckart” and “Skodje-Truhlar” refer to the tunneling correction method.

     Do alternative reaction pathways exist? The calculations predict two reaction pathways leading to silyl isocyanide (**p3**, SiH3NC) plus atomic hydrogen (H●) and hydrogen isocyanide (**p4**, HNC) plus the silyl radical (SiH3●). These pathways are initiated through the existence of the van-der-Waals complexes which are weakly bound (-2 kJmol-1), in which the nitrogen atom points to the silicon (**vdW1**) and hydrogen atom (**vdW2**), respectively. These complexes pass through transition states **TS3** and **TS2** eventually forming silyl isocyanide (**p3**, SiH3NC) plus atomic hydrogen (H●) and hydrogen isocyanide (**p4**, HNC) plus the silyl radical (SiH3●). Considering that both transitions states are higher than 18.2 kJ mol-1, the pathways to silyl isocyanide (**p3**, SiH3NC) and hydrogen isocyanide (**p4**, HNC) are both closed. Overall, our investigations prove that silyl cyanide (**p2**, SiH3CN) are formed through direct (backward scattering) reaction dynamics and a radical substitution pathway involving a penta-coordinated silicon atom in the reaction which is overall exoergic (RG = -124 kJ mol-1) and rapid (1.2×10-10 cm3s-1 at 2000 K) at elevated temperatures. This outcome is quite distinct from the classically ‘expected’ reaction pathway leading solely to hydrogen cyanide (**p1**, HCN) plus the silyl radical via a direct abstraction process.

     It is attractive to contrast the elucidated reaction mechanisms of the CN-SiH4 system with those of the isovalent reaction of the cyano radical with methane (CH4). The computational investigation also unraveled four channels in this carbon-based chemistry with the calculated relative energy shown in Figure 3b. These include two classical hydrogen abstraction pathways leading to hydrogen cyanide (**p5**, HCN) and hydrogen isocyanide (**p7**, HNC) along with the methyl radical (CH3). Further, two radical substitution channels forming methyl cyanide (**p6**, CH3CN,) and methyl isocyanide (**p8**, CH3NC) together with atomic hydrogen were identified. However, the thermodynamically most stable product methyl cyanide (**p6**, CH3CN) via radical substitution pathway is only accessible through a transition state (**TS7**) with high barrier of 108 kJ mol-1. This radical substitution pathway is, hence, closed. However, the classical hydrogen abstraction channel leading to hydrogen cyanide (**p5**, HCN) only has to pass a transition state (**TS5**) with a 7 kJ mol-1 barrier, which is much preferred. Remarkably, the energies difference in these transition states of 101 kJ mol-1 in the CN-CH4 system is reduced by 95 kJ mol-1 to only 6 kJ mol-1 in the CN-SiH4 system. The frontier orbitals of the transition states of the radical substitution on silane (**TS1**) are compared to those on methane (**TS7**) in Figure 5. Silicon has a larger covalent radius, lower electronegativity and vacant 3d orbitals compared to carbon[47](#_ENREF_47) and tends to expand its valence shell to pentacoordination forming stable compounds with increased electropositive character considering the vacant d orbitals, the effect of σ⃰ (Si-L) orbitals with high p character and the three-center four-electron bonding.[48-51](#_ENREF_48) This results in a higher barrier (108 kJ mol-1) in carbon-based chemistry compared to the silane – cyano system (7 kJ mol-1).
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**Figure 5**. Frontier molecular orbitals for the transition states of the radical substitution mechanism on silane (**TS1**) and methane (**TS7**).

Therefore, the replacement of a tetravalent carbon atom by an isoelectronic silicon atom in the methane molecule has a profound impact on the reaction dynamics and opens up a competitive radical substitution pathway to the astronomically observed silyl cyanide (**p2**, SiH3CN) molecule.[52](#_ENREF_52),[53](#_ENREF_53)

**4. CONCLUSIONS.**

     The elementary cyano - silane gas-phase reaction forming silyl cyanide (SiH3CN) and atomic hydrogen (H●) was explored exploiting molecular beams experiments and electronic structure calculations. The direct chemical dynamics were revealed and exposed a radical substitution mechanism via a single transition state containing a penta-coor­di­nated silicon atom with a nearly colinear geometry of the attacking cyano radical, the forming carbon-silicon bond, along with the cleaving silicon-hydrogen moiety. The reaction dynamics are distinct when comparing to the dynamics of the isovalent cyano-methane system, which are dictated solely by hydrogen abstraction, indicating that insights in the reaction mechanisms of carbon systems cannot readily predict the chemical dynamics of isovalent silicon system. Overall, the cyano – silane reaction represents a one-step radical substitution mechanism benchmark in the gas-phase involving the coupling of a silicon−carbon bond leading to new insights on the dynamics of radical substitution mechanisms at tetravalent silicon atoms and how they compare to the isovalent carbon systems.

     This silicon−carbon bond formation which proves to be facile in our crossed molecular beam experiments holds important implications to the extraterrestrial chemistry. Although silyl cyanide (SiH3CN) has been identified in the circumstellar envelope of IRC+10216, previously, its gas-phase synthesis has remained rather uncertain. Speculative ion-mole­cule reactions involving CH3CNH+ and SiH3CNH+ were eventually ruled out based on recent Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) observations.[54](#_ENREF_54) Hypo­thetical catalytic reactions on the surface of silicon-carbon grains were discounted, too.[52](#_ENREF_52),[53](#_ENREF_53) However, considering temperatures of a few 1,000 K in those regions close to the central star, the transition state of 7 kJ mol-1 of the exoergic gas-phase substitution reaction of the cyano radical with silane leading to silyl cyanide (SiH3CN) can be easily overcome and could provide a versatile synthetic route to incorporate silyl moieties into organosilicon molecules. Utilizing macroscopic environ­ments such as circum­stellar envelopes of carbon-rich AGB stars as a natural laboratory, the study of radical substitution mechanisms on the microscopic, molecular level involving silane (SiH4) leads us to predict the formation of silyl acetylene (SiH3CCH), methylsilane (CH3SiH3), and 1-silylpropyne (CH3CCSiH3) via reactions of silane with ethynyl (C2H●), methyl (CH3●), and 1-propynyl (CCCH3●) radicals. Overall, the silicon-carbon bonds formed here via radical substitution pathways at chemical and physical conditions representing circum­stellar envelopes close to the star such as IRC+10216 deepens our understanding of the exotic reaction mechanisms and chemistry in these extreme environments and assists deeper insights of fundamental molecular mass growth processes involving silicon in the molecular universe we live in.[53](#_ENREF_53),[54](#_ENREF_54)

**5. EXPERIMENTAL AND COMPUTATIONAL**

**5.1. EXPERIMENTAL:** The molecular level gas phase reaction of cyano radical (CN, X2Σ+) with silane (SiH4, X1A1) was investigated with a crossed molecular beam machine.[55](#_ENREF_55) The primary supersonic cyano beam was generated *in situ* by laser ablation of a graphite rod with a 266 nm Nd:YAG laser (30 Hz, 10-12 mJ pulse-1). The ablated species were seeded in nitrous oxide (N2O, Matheson, 99.999%), which also acted as a reactant gas and was released by a pulse valve (60 Hz, 4 atm). The pulsed primary CN● radical beam was velocity-selected by a chopper wheel located prior to the interaction region, yielding a νp (peak velocity) of 1350 ± 24 m s-1 and S (speed ratio) of 3.7 ± 0.2 (Table S1). In the interaction region, the primary pulse crossed the secondary pure silane (SiH4, Linde, 99.999%) beam with νp of 827 ± 20 m s-1 and S of 10.1 ± 0.2 perpendicularly, resulting in a collision energy of 18.2 ± 0.5 kJ mol-1 along with center-of-mass (CM) angle of 37.0 ± 0.5°. An electron-impact ionizer was used to ionize the reactive scattering products at ultrahigh-vacuum of around 8×10-12 Torr.[56](#_ENREF_56) The scattering signal was mass filtered and angularly resolved utilizing a triply differentially pumped QMS (quadrupole mass spectrometer) in the time-of-flight (TOF) mode and eventually recorded using a Daly-type ion counter.[57](#_ENREF_57) The laboratory angular distribution (LAD) at a selected mass-to-charge (*m/z*) was obtained by integrating the TOF spectra at different angles and scaling to the intensity at the CM angle. The laboratory data of the TOF spectra and the LAD were transformed into the CM frame exploiting a forward-convolution routine to reveal the reaction dynamics information including the CM translational energy *P(E*T*)* and the angular *T(θ)* flux distributions.[58](#_ENREF_58),[59](#_ENREF_59) The fitting procedure starts with initial prediction of CM functions to fit the laboratory data iteratively until reaching the best fits. The *I*(*θ, u*), i.e. reactive differential cross section, which can be separated into CM angle *θ* and velocity *u* as *I*(*θ, u*) ⁓ *P*(*u*) × *T*(*θ*), is presented as a flux contour map containing the scattering process information.[41](#_ENREF_41)

**5.2. COMPUTATIONAL:** All electronic structure calculations presented in this work were carried out with the MOLPRO[60](#_ENREF_60) and ORCA[61](#_ENREF_61),[62](#_ENREF_62) packages. Geometry optimizations of reactants, products, intermediates and transition states concerning both SiH4 + CN and CH4 + CN reactions were performed with density functional theory (DFT)[63](#_ENREF_63) and the B2PLYP-D3 double hybrid density functional with Grimme's dispersion correction and Becke-Johnson damping[64](#_ENREF_64),[65](#_ENREF_65) and with the cc-pV(T+d)Z basis set.[66](#_ENREF_66) No symmetry restriction was enforced in any geometry optimization. A vibrational analysis was performed on all obtained stationary points at the same B2PLYP-D3/cc-pV(T+d)Z level. Stationary points assigned as potential energy minima were confirmed to possess only real vibrational frequencies, while a single imaginary frequency was obtained for the transition states (TSs). To assign the connecting structures for each TS, intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations were performed. Localized molecular orbitals according to Pipek-Mezey (population-localization) are also at the DFT level of theory. For each optimized structure, single point energy calculations were performed at the explicitly correlated RCCSD(T)-F12/cc-pVTZ-F12[43](#_ENREF_43),[67](#_ENREF_67) level using the MOLPRO software to achieve higher accuracy on the energy values. The reaction energies and barrier heights are hereafter termed as CCSD(T)-F12/cc-pVTZ-F12//B2PLYP-D3/cc-pV(T+d)Z+ZPE(B2PLYP-D3/cc-pV(T+d)Z). Specifically for the optimized van der Waals intermediates, we also performed anharmonic vibrational analysis according to second-order vibrational perturbation theory as implemented in ORCA. This was carried out at the ωB97X-D3BJ/ cc-pV(T+d)Z level[68](#_ENREF_68),[69](#_ENREF_69) and the anharmonic correction was added to the ZPE previously obtained for these intermediates at B2PLYP-D3/cc-pV(T+d)Z level. Finally, rate constants were computed using transition state theory (TST) within rigid rotor - harmonic oscillator (RRHO) using energies and molecular parameters from the electronic structure calculations. The Eckart[70-72](#_ENREF_70) and Skodje-Truhlar[46](#_ENREF_46" \o "Skodje, 1981 #983) tunneling corrections were computed. The MESS software package was employed for the calculations.[73](#_ENREF_73)

**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

Experimental parameters (Table S1), comparison of our calculated results with experimental results (Table S2), calculated coordinates and vibrational frequencies involved in the CN-SiH4 (Table S3) and CN-CH4 (Table S4) reactions.
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