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Gas Phase Identification of the Elusive Oxaziridine (cyclo-H2CONH) – An Optically Active Molecule

Santosh K. Singh,a Jesse La Jeunesse,a Cheng Zhu,a N. Fabian Kleimeier,a Kuo-Hsin Chen,b Bing-Jian Sun,b Agnes H. H. Chang\*b and Ralf I. Kaiser\*a

The hitherto elusive oxaziridine molecule (cyclo-H2CONH) – an optically active, high energy isomer of nitrosomethane (CH3NO) – is prepared in processed methane - nitrogen monoxide ices and detected upon sublimation in the gas phase. Electronic structure calculations reveal likely routes via addition of carbene (CH2) to the nitrogen-oxygen double bond of nitrosyl hydride (HNO). Our findings provide a fundamental framework to explore the preparation and stability of racemic oxaziri­dines exploited in chi­ral sub­strate-​controlled diastereoselective preparation such as Sharpless asymmetric epoxi­da­tion, thus advancing our fundamental understanding of the preparation and chemical bonding of strained rings in small organic molecules.

For more than half a century, oxaziridines – organic molecules featuring a strained three-mem­­bered heterocycle ring containing carbon together with two electronegative atoms (oxygen, nitro­gen) (Scheme 1) - have attracted considerable interest from the theoretical chemistry, physi­cal orga­nic, and synthetic organic communities from a fundamental point of view of diverse che­mi­cal bonding and electronic structure due to their chirality1-5 and exceptional high barriers of ring inver­­si­on processes6 of the nitrogen atom allowing for the possibility of chirality at the nitro­gen cen­ter.6 First reported by Emmons et al.,7 oxaziridine derivatives have emerged as key rea­gents in organic chemistry8-11 for an array of oxidations involving Sharpless asymmetric epoxi­da­tions11, 12 and aziri­dination of olefins13 along with α-hydroxylation of enolates14 often in­vol­ving chi­ral sub­strate-​controlled diastereoselective preparations. Whereas nitrogen and oxygen classi­cally act as nucleophiles due to their high electronegativities of 3.04 and 3.44 on the Pauling scale, oxaziri­dines pro­mote an electrophilic transfer of both heteroatoms, in which the out­come of the che­mi­cal reac­ti­on is driven by the electrophilic character of each atom. These reactions involve oxygen trans­fer via cycloaddition reactions, which often involve exotic diradical characters of the tran­sition state to reaction.8, 11 This unconventional reactivity is based on the highly strained three-mem­bered ring with a relatively weak N-O bond elongated to 151 to 152 pm15 compared to traditional nitrogen-oxygen single bonds of 106 to 140 pm. Although oxaziridines do not incorporate an asymmetric carbon atom and hence fail Pasteur’s traditional de­fi­­­nition of chira­lity,16 oxaziridines signify a benchmark of chiral ring com­po­unds, in which the nitrogen inversion barrier of up to 133 kJ mol-1 can be associated with an augmented repulsion of the non-bonding electron pairs of the adjacent oxygen and nitrogen atoms.6, 17, 18 Oxaziri­dines also act as intermediates to amides and take part in [3+2] cycloadditions with hetero­cumu­lenes to synthesize substituted five-membered hetero­cycles.12 The potential role of oxaziridine in transi­tion metal complexes like cobalt salicylaldehyde (Co[salicyl­alde­hyde]2) high­lights the significance in pre­pa­rative, synthetic chemistry.19

**Scheme 1.** The three membered ring structure of oxaziridine molecule exhibiting inversion at the nitrogen center. ‘R’ represents an (in)organic group.

However, regardless of the importance of oxaziridines, the oxa­zi­ridine molecule (cyclo-H2CONH; **1**) itself has not been isolated to date considering hitherto overwhelming experimental difficulties in preparing suitable precursor molecules eventually forming molecule **1** (Figure 1, Table S1). As a structural iso­mer of nitrosomethane (CH3NO; **3**), formamide (NH2CHO; **6**),20 form­alde­hyde oxime (H2CNOH; **4**),21 the 1,3-dipolar N-oxide methanimine (H2CNHO; **2**),22 methan­imidic acid (HNCHOH; **5**),23 and (methyleneoxonio)amide (H2CONH; **7**), the elusive **1** is linked via a substantial ring-opening barrier of 231 kJ mol-1 to the ther­mo­dyna­mi­cal­ly favorable isomer 2.24 This barrier competes favorably with a nearly isoenergetic transition state connecting isomers **2** with **3** through a hydrogen atom shift from the nitrogen to the carbon atom.25 These energetics highlight the need for an unconventional preparative route to the elusive molecule **1**.

****

**Figure 1.** Adiabatic ionization energies (IE) and relative energies (ΔE) are calculated at the CCSD/cc-pVTZ//CCSD(T)/CBS level of theory. The cartesian coordinates of the structures are provided in Table S8. Bond lengths and angles are given in picometers and degrees, respectively.

In this study, we convey the first preparation and detection of the hitherto elusive oxa­zi­ridine mole­cule in the gas phase. Merging our experiments with electronic structure cal­cu­lations, we reveal that compound **1** can be synthesized in low temperature ices ultimately via cyclo­addition of carbene (CH2) to the nitrogen-oxygen double bond of nitrosyl hydride (H-N=O). Experiments were carried out in an ultrahigh vacu­um (UHV) surface science machine at a base pressure of a few 10-11 Torr (Fig. S1).26 Binary ices of methane (CH4), D4-methane (CD4) or 13C-methane (13CH4) with nitrogen monoxide (NO) were prepared in separate experiments with thicknesses of 743 ± 50 nm at 4.8 ± 0.1 K at ratios of 1.1 ± 0.4:1 (Figs. S2-S5; Tables S3-S5). Bond-cleavage processes in (isotopically labeled) methane and nitrogen monoxide were triggered by subjecting these ices to energetic electrons at doses of 0.44 ± 0.04 eV molecule-1 for methane and 0.82 ± 0.08 eV molecule-1 for nitrogen monoxide on average (Table S6). Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of the ices were collected in situ before and after the irradiation (Figs. S2-S4). The low irradiation dose along with overlapping infrared absorptions of functional groups of nitrogen-oxygen carrying (in)organic species does not allow an explicit identification of molecule **1** via FTIR spectroscopy, and hence a novel approach is clearly essential. The molecular species formed in the irradiated ices along with the reactants were sublimed by increasing the temperature of the target at a rate of 1 K min-1 to 320 K (temperature programmed desorption; TPD). The subliming neutral molecules were ionized exploiting tunable vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) photoionization (PI); the ions formed were mass resolved in a reflectron time-of-flight mass spectro­me­ter (PI-ReTOF-MS). By systematically tuning the photon energies to 10.49 eV, 9.95 eV, 9.92 eV, 9.50 eV, and 9.00 eV (Table S7), the isomers 1 to 7 can be (selectively) ionized based on their adiabatic ionization energies (IE; Tables S1 and S10) to elucidate which iso­mer(s) is(are) prepared. It shall be stressed that an evaluation of three experimentally available benchmarks – formamide, formaldehyde oxime, and nitrosomethane - with ionization energies com­pu­ted at the CCSD/cc-pVTZ//CCSD(T)/CBS level of theory reveals that the calculated ionization energies can be lower by 0.02 eV or higher by 0.04 eV (Table S1). This agrees with the error range determined for the calculated ionization energies of multiple molecular systems (Table S2). Further, detailed calibration experiments exposed that the electric field of the extrac­ti­on plate of the ion optics of the Re-TOF-MS lowers the ionization energies by 0.03 eV.27

**Figure 2.** PI-ReTOF mass spectra measured at photoionization energies of (A) 10.49 eV and (B) 9.50 eV during the temperature program desorption (TPD) phase of the irradiated methane (CH4) - nitrogen monoxide (NO) ices.

The mass spectra of the species subliming from the irradiated methane – nitrogen monoxide ices are depicted in Figure 2 as a function of temperature recorded at photon energies of 10.49 eV, and 9.50 eV. The mass spectra measured at photon energies of 9.95 eV and 9.92 eV are shown in Fig. S6. Analyzing the experiment at 10.49 eV, a comparison of the data of the non-irradiated ice mixture (blank; Fig. S7) with the exposed ices uncovers the formation of new molecules. Besides the reactant nitrogen monoxide (NO) (m/z = 30; IE = 9.26 eV) and prominent signal at m/z = 46 (NO2), 60 (N2O2), 75 (C2H5NO2), and 90 (C2H6N2O2), the PI-ReTOF-MS data of the CH4-NO system reveal a noticeable trace at mass-to-charge (m/z) of m/z = 45 (Fig. 3A); at 10.49 eV, this TPD graph shows three sublimation events peaking at 100 K, 165 K, and 265 K. The mass-to-charge ratio of m/z = 45 can be associated with the molecular formula CH3NO. It is important to highlight that at a photon energy of 10.49 eV, all isomers 1 to 7 can be photo­ionized; signal at m/z = 45 does not exist in the control experiment (Fig. S7) revealing that ion counts at m/z = 45 are linked to the radiation exposure of the binary ice mixture. To provide evidence that the molecule monitored via m/z = 45 is connected to a species with the formula CH3NO, isotopic experiments were conducted by replacing methane (CH4) in separate experiments with 13C-methane (13CH4) and D4-methane (CD4). The TPD profiles recorded at m/z = 46 and 48 are shifted by 1 amu and 3 amu in the 13C- and D-labeled ices, respectively (Figs. 3B and 3C). These findings suggest that for the sublimation events peaking 100 K, 165 K, and 265 K, the molecule of in­te­re­st contains one oxygen atom along with a single carbon atom and three hydrogen atoms - a conclusion which is coherent with the molecular formula CH3NO.

**Figure 3.** Temperature program desorption (TPD) profiles measured at (A) m/z = 45 (CH4 + NO), (B) m/z = 46 (13CH4 + NO), and (C) m/z = 48 (CD4 + NO) using a photon energy of 10.49 eV. TPD profiles of m/z = 45 (CH4 + NO) at photoionization energies of (D) 9.95 eV (E) 9.92 eV and (F) 9.50 eV. Errors in the photon energies are ± 0.0001 eV.

Having provided compelling evidence on the formation of molecule(s) with the molecular formula CH3NO (45 amu) along with its 13C- and D-substituted counterparts, we extract now the nature of the structure isomer. This goal is accomplished by selectively photoionizing discrete isomers based on their distinct ionization energies (Figure 1, Table S1). Lowering the photon energy to 9.95 eV and 9.92 eV still photoionizes isomers **1**, **2**, **3** and **7**. However, these energies are below the adiabatic ioniza­tion energies of isomers **4** to **6**. A detailed inspection of Figs. 3A–E reveals that all three sublimation events at 100 K, 165 K, and 265 K are still present albeit with lower ion counts due to the reduced photoionization cross section at lower photon energies. Once the photon energy is lowered to 9.50 eV, isomers 2 and 3 – if formed – can still be ionized, but this energy is below the adiabatic ionization energy of isomer **1**. At 9.50 eV, ion counts are clearly visible for the sublimation events at 100 K and 165 K; these events can be correlated with the formation of isomer(s) **3** and/or **2**. However, the sublimation event at 265 K disappears at 9.50 eV revealing that these ion counts are connected to the formation of the previously elusive isomer **1**. The TPD profiles recorded for m/z = 45 at 10.49 eV, 9.95 eV, and 9.92 eV reveal identical pattern after scaling (Fig. S8). At 9.0 eV, the sublimation events at 100 K and 165 K disappear as well (Fig. S9). This implies that these peaks centred at 100 and 165 K cannot be assigned to isomer 7, but to isomers 2 and/or 3; therefore isomer 7 is not formed in our experiments. Comparison of sublimation profile of nitrosomethane measured previously in nitromethane (CH3NO2) matrix28 with the TPD profile obtained in the present study at m/z = 45, suggests that sublimation event centred at 100 K can be attributed to isomer 3 (Fig. S10). Therefore, based on the photoioniza­tion studies along with isotope substitution experi­me­nts within the methane – nitrogen monoxide system, oxaziridine parent molecule is clearly prepared and detected. It should be note here that since we have used energetic electrons, no enantiomeric excess is expected as evidenced from our previous studies on the formation of amino acids.29, 30

Having elucidated the preparation of isomer **1**, we are exploring now possible mechanism(s) of its formation (Fig. 4). Experiments of pure methane ices at low doses and temperatures revealed three decomposition pathways leading to methyl (CH3) (reaction (1)) and singlet/triplet carbene (CH2) (reactions (2a/2b)). The methyl radical and the hydrogen atom may react with nitrogen monoxide barrierlessly if both reagents have a favorable recombination geometry in the ices to form isomer **3** (reaction (3)) and nitrosyl hydride (HNO) (reaction (4)) in exoergic re­ac­tions, respectively. The reactivity of carbene (CH2) with nitrosyl hydride (HNO) strongly depends on the spin state of carbene.

(1)  CH4 → CH3(X2A2**//**) + H(2S) ΔRG = 429 kJ mol-1

(2a) CH4 → CH2(X3B1) + 2 H(2S) ΔRG = 897 kJ mol-1

(2b) CH4 → CH2(a1A1) + H2(X1) ΔRG = 495 kJ mol-1

(3) CH3 + NO → CH3NOΔRG = -422 kJ mol-1

(4) H + NO → HNO ΔRG = -209 kJ mol-1

**Figure 4.** Computationally predicted reaction pathways of singlet (blue) and triplet (black) carbene (CH2) with nitrosyl hydride (HNO). The CCSD/cc-pVTZ//CCSD(T)/CBS energies are given in kJ mol-1 with respect to the separated reactants. The CPMCSCF/TZVPP//CCSD(T)/CBS minimum-energy crossing points (MSX) are shown for inter system crossing (ISC) pathways as waved arrows. Geometrical parameters of the species depicted are provided in Figures S12-S14 and coordinates are tabulated in Table S9. Bond lengths and angles are given in picometers and degrees, respectively.

The electronic structure calculations at the CCSD/cc-pVTZ// CCSD(T)/CBS level of theory revealed that singlet carbene may add barrierlessly to the nitrogen atom, the oxygen atom, and to the nitrogen-oxygen double bond of nitrosyl hydride (HNO) forming isomers **2**, **7**, and **1**, respectively (Fig. 4, Tables S9 and S11). These barrierless entrance channels are supported by the intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations performed at the CCSD/cc-pVTZ//CCSD(T)/ CBS level of theory (Fig. S11). These products (2, 1, and 7) are stabilized by 418, 382, and 255 kJ mol-1 with respect to the separated reactants. Both the intermediates 2 and 7 can undergo ring closure to form 1 after crossing energy barriers of 226 and 70 kJ mol-1, respectively. However, isomerization of intermediate 7 to isomer 1 is more facile due to smaller energy barrier therefore, we could not observe 7 in our experiments. On the triplet potential energy surface, addition of triplet carbene to the central nitrogen and to the terminal oxygen atom of nitrosyl hydride (HNO), leading to triplet 2 and 7, have entrance barriers of 10 and 41 kJ mol-1, respectively. In spite of several attempts, we could not locate the reaction path leading from the reactants to triplet 1; therefore, it appears that 1 cannot originate from the reaction of triplet reactants in one step. However, triplet 1 might be produced from triplet 2 via two-step pathway: (i) elimination of oxygen atom from 2 leading to a van-der-Waals complex i1 followed by (ii) recombination of oxygen atom to methylene group. Both the steps have well-defined transition states which are higher in energy by about 98 and 86 kJ mol-1 with respect to the triplet 2. Triplet 7 could also isomerize to 1 in a single-step after crossing an energy barrier of 230 kJ mol-1. The triplet species (2, 1, and 7) could undergo intersystem cros­s­ing (ISC) to the singlet manifold via minimal energy crossing points (MSX) which are depicted in Fig. S12. It is important to note here that the N-O bond length in triplet 1 is larger (227 pm) than the conventional covalent bond and thus triplet 1 does not represent a true cyclic structure (Fig. S13). Overall, the computations suggest that the barrierless addition of singlet carbene to the nitrogen-oxygen double bond of nitrosyl hydride (HNO) is a feasible pathway to form molecule **1**. It is important to highlight that the addition of singlet carbene to a double bond of nitrosyl hydride (HNO) mimic the reactivity of carbene with ethylene (C2H4) leading to the formation of cyclopropane (C3H6) in electron irradiated methane – ethylene ices at 5 K.31

In conclusion, this study reveals the preparation and detection of the chiral oxaziridine (**1**)– a high ener­gy isomer of nitrosomethane (**3**). The molecule **1** originates in the methane – nitrogen monoxide ice mixture after exposure to energetic electrons and was detected by exploiting a tunable single photon vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) photoionization coupled with reflectron time-of-flight mass spectrometry (PI-ReTOF-MS). Non-equilibrium reaction pathways leading to **1** were proposed; barrierless addition of the singlet carbene with nitrogen-oxygen double bond of nitrosyl hydride (HNO) appeared to be the most likely pathway for the formation of aforementioned molecule. The molecule **1** reveals elongated nitrogen-oxy­gen (148 pm), carbon-nitrogen (143 pm), and carbon-oxygen (139 pm) bonds in contrast to the carbon-carbon bond (151 pm) in cyclopropane.32 A high ring inversion barrier of 143 kJ mol-1 (Fig. S15, Tables S12-S13) – allows the possibility of chirality in oxaziridine – an exotic isomer of nitrosomethane previously undetected.
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